arXiv:1203.0888v6 [math.PR] 29 Jan 2013

FLOWS DRIVEN BY ROUGH PATHS

I. BAILLEUL

ABSTRACT. We show in this work how the familiar Taylor formula can be used in a simple way to reprove from scratch the main existence and well-posedness results from rough paths theory, in the context of Hölder weak geometric or branched rough paths; the explosion question, Taylor expansion and Euler estimates are also dealt with. Unlike other approaches, we work mainly with flows of maps rather than with paths. We illustrate our approach by proving a well-posedness result for some mean field stochastic rough differential equation.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Flows driven by Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths $(2 < p < 3)$ 3
2.1. Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths 6
2.2. Approximate rough differential equation 7
2.3. Fundamental properties of the approximate flow 9
2.4. Flows driven by Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths 12
3. Flows driven by Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths, general case 16
3.1. An algebraic interlude: tensor algebra over $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ and free nilpotent Lie group 16
3.2. Hölder weak geometric p-rough path, general case 17
4. Taylor expansion of solution flows to rough differential equations 23
5. Flows driven by branched rough paths 25
5.1. Branched rough paths 26
5.2. Flows driven by branched rough paths 29
6. Paths driven by rough paths 31
7. Mean field stochastic rough differential equations 34
References 38

1. INTRODUCTION

Since Lyons wrote his groundbreaking article [1] on rough paths, there has been a constantly growing industry in understanding the scope of the theory. Besides providing an alternative enlighting view on Ito's theory of stochastic integration and some deep associated results, rough paths theory has now invaded the world of numerical simulations, stochastic and deterministic partial differential equations and finance, to name but a few areas. Despite Lyons' Saint Flour lecture notes [2], his book [3] with Qian and the impressive and exhaustive book [4] of Friz and Victoir, rough path still seems to be seen as

---

Date: January 30, 2013.

This research was partially supported by an ANR grant "Retour post-doctorant".

a somewhat difficult and technical subject where algebra and classical analysis meet in an intricate way.

We show in this work how the main existence and uniqueness results of the theory can be proved from scratch using only Taylor formula, in the context of dynamics driven by Hölder weak geometric or branched rough paths. Contrary to Lyons, Friz-Victoir and Gubinelli's approach, we work primarily with maps from the state space E to itself rather than with E-valued paths. Our dynamics on the space of maps φ will have typical form

$$d\varphi = V dt + F d\mathbf{X}, \tag{1.1}$$

for some driving vector fields V and $F = (V_1, \dots, V_\ell)$ and a (Hölder weak geometric or branched p-) rough path $\mathbf{X}$ on some time interval $[0, T]$. Roughly speaking, a rough path consists of an E-valued non-smooth path X together with a number of objects which play the role of the missing iterated integrals of X, in the sense that they satisfy the same algebraic relations as the iterated integrals of any smooth path, as well as some natural size requirements. Given such a rough path and some vector fields $V, V_1, \dots, V_\ell$, a family $(\mu_{ts})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ of diffeomorphisms of E is constructed from an ordinary differential equation. These maps do not form a flow, in the sense that μ_{ts} is not equal to $\mu_{tu} \circ \mu_{us}$ for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant u \leqslant t \leqslant T$. However, some conditions on the driving vector fields and the rough path ensure the existence of a unique *flow* of maps $(\varphi_{ts})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ close to $(\mu_{ts})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$. This is what we call a/the solution to the rough differential equation (1.1). This approach mixes Davie's original approach [5] and Feyel-de la Pradelle's picture [6], [7].

All other approaches to rough paths consider paths associated with a point motion as the fundamental basic object. Lyons, and later Gubinelli, interpret (1.1) as an integral equation, which requires a suitable notion of rough path integral as a mechanism to attach to a rough path $\mathbf{Y}$ and some sufficiently regular one form g another rough path $\int_0^{\cdot} g(\mathbf{Y})\, \mathbf{dY}$. Solving equation (1.1) then amounts to find a fixed point to an integral equation of the form $\mathbf{Y} = \int_0^{\cdot} g(\mathbf{Y})\, \mathbf{dY}$, where $\mathbf{Y}$ is some extension of the original rough path $\mathbf{X}$. See for instance [1], [2] or [3], and Friz and Hairer's forthcoming review of Gubinelli's approach. Friz and Victoir's approach, developed after Davie's ideas [5], consider $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued dynamics driven by a rough path as a limit in some appropriate topology of elementary dynamics generated by controlled ordinary differential equations in which the control converges in a rough path sense to some limit rough path. With such a view, no notion of integral is needed to define a dynamics; neither is it the case in the approach developped in this work.

Roughness of a rough path is quantified by a real number $p > 2$. In order to bring the reader progressivey to the most general results, we deal in section 2 with Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths, with $2 < p < 3$, for which only one substitute of iterated integral is needed. The case of a general Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths, for any $p > 2$, is treated in section 3, while flows driven by branched rough paths are treated in section 5. It comes as a nice feature of our approach that convergence estimates for Euler type schemes and Taylor expansion for solution flows come almost for free; this is explained in section 4. Although designed for bounded vector fields, all the machinery works for vector fields with at most linear growth and proves non-explosion of the dynamics in that case. The results on flows are applied in section 6 to give a simple proof of the main existence/uniqueness results for the classical rough differential equations on paths driven by Hölder weak geometric or branched p-rough paths. They are illustrated differently in section 7 where we prove a well-posedness result for some large class of mean field stochastic rough differential equation.

Although everything below works word by word in any Banach space, we have chosen to work in a finite dimensional setting where the definition of a γ-Lipschitz vector field, with γ non-integer, is well-known, and for which there is no need to pay attention to nice tensor norms on tensor powers of the Banach space. The reader acquainted with these notions will have no difficulty in putting herself/himself in that setting while the others will be on a firm ground.

A few notations will be used thoughout the text, which we gather here.

- Fix $T > 0$ and a non-integer $\gamma > 1$, with integer part $[\gamma]$ and fractional part $\{\gamma\}$. We say that a vector field W on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is γ-Lipschitz if it is $\mathcal{C}^{[\gamma]}$ with a $\{\gamma\}$-Hölder continuous differential of order $[\gamma]$, and all its derivative are bounded. Its γ-Lipschitz norm $\|W\|_\gamma$ is defined as
$$\|W\|_\gamma = \sum_{r=0}^{[\gamma]} \left\|W^{(r)}\right\|_\infty + \left\|W^{([\gamma])}\right\|_{\{\gamma\}} < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$
where $W^{(r)}$ is the differential of order r of W and $\left\|W^{([\gamma])}\right\|_{\{\gamma\}}$ stands for the classical $\{\gamma\}$-Hölder norm of $W^{([\gamma])}$.
- Given any two $\mathcal{C}^1$ vector fields V, W on $\mathbb{R}^d$ we write VW for the vector field $x \mapsto (D_xW)\big(V(x)\big)$. Given some sufficiently regular vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and a tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in [\![1, \ell]\!]^r$, we identify vector fields with derivation operators and write V_I for the differential operator $f \in \mathcal{C}^r \mapsto V_{i_1}\big(V_{i_2}(\dots V_{i_r} f)\big)$. Writing $[V, W]$ for the bracket of two vector fields, this defines a first order differential operator, that is a vector field. Set
$$V_{[I]} = \Big[V_{i_1}, \big[V_{i_2}, \dots, [V_{i_{r-1}}, V_{i_r}]\big] \dots \Big].$$
- We identify in the sequel $L(\mathbb{R}^\ell) = \mathbb{R}^\ell \otimes (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^*$ and $\mathbb{R}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with $M_\ell(\mathbb{R})$, via the matrix representation of linear maps in the canonical basis. In these terms, given any two vectors x, y of $\mathbb{R}^\ell$, the (jk)-th component $(x \otimes y)^{jk}$ of $x \otimes y$ is $x^j y^k$.
- We use the convention that $a^i b_i$ stands for the sum $\sum_i a^i b_i$.

2. Flows driven by Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths $(2 < p < 3)$

We explain in this opening section the meaning of equation (1.1) and how to solve it in the simplest case where rough paths are really needed. Recall that a *flow* on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a family $(\varphi_{ts})_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ of maps from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to itself such that $\varphi_{ts} = \varphi_{tu} \circ \varphi_{us}$, for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant u \leqslant t \leqslant T$, and $\varphi_{ss} = \mathrm{Id}$, for all s. As a guide to our approach, consider the flow of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$ generated by the controlled ordinary differential equation
$$\dot{y}_u = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(y_u) \dot{h}^i(u) \tag{2.1}$$
for $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$, for some smooth vector fields $f_1, \cdots, f_N$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, bounded and with bounded derivatives, and some smooth $\mathbb{R}^N$-valued control h. The map φ_{ts} associates to any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the value at time t of the solution to (2.1) started at time s from x. This flow can be constructed directly as follows, without involving any integral equation.

Given $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$, denote by μ_{ts} the maps $x \mapsto x + \sum_{i=1}^N \big(h^i(t) - h^i(s)\big) V_i(x)$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to iself; they depend continuously on (s, t) in the topology of uniform convergence on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

They enjoy the following fundamental property which justifies calling them *approximate solution flows*; it is a simple consequence of Taylor formula. The inequality

$$\big\|\mu_{tu}\circ\mu_{us}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c_0|t-s|^2 \tag{2.2}$$

holds for some positive constant c_0, for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant u\leqslant t\leqslant 1$.

THEOREM **1.** *There exists a unique flow $(\varphi_{ts})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant 1}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ to which one can associate two positive constants c and δ such that*

$$\big\|\varphi_{ts}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c\,|t-s|^2 \tag{2.3}$$

holds whenever $t-s\leqslant\delta$. Moreover, the φ_{ts} are the uniform limits of $\overline{\mu}^n_{ts}:=\mu_{s_ns_{n-1}}\circ\cdots\circ\mu_{s_1s_0}$, where $s_i=s+\frac{i}{n}(t-s)$.

The above flow is the flow associated with the ordinary differential equation (2.1) since the latter satisfies condition (2.3). We give a complete proof of theorem 1 as it illustrates perfectly in an elementary setting our approach to flows driven by rough paths.

PROOF – **1. Uniform controls.** We first show by induction that

$$\big\|\overline{\mu}^n_{ts}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant L\,|t-s|^2 \tag{2.4}$$

holds for some positive constant L, for all integers $n\geqslant 1$ and all $0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T$ sufficiently close. Write for that purpose $u=s_{n+1-2}$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\overline{\mu}^{n+1}_{ts}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant \big\|\overline{\mu}^2_{tu}\circ\overline{\mu}^{n-1}_{us}-\mu_{tu}\circ\overline{\mu}^{n-1}_{us}\big\|_\infty &+ \big\|\mu_{tu}\circ\overline{\mu}^{n-1}_{us}-\mu_{tu}\circ\mu_{us}\big\|_\infty\\ &+\big\|\mu_{tu}\circ\mu_{us}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis, inequality (2.2) and the fact that the maps $\big(\mu_{ts}(\cdot)\big)_{t-s\leqslant\delta}$ are uniformly Lipschitz continuous, with a Lipschitz constant no greater than $\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\overline{\mu}^{n+1}_{ts}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty &\leqslant \big\|\overline{\mu}^2_{tu}-\mu_{tu}\big\|_\infty+\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)c\,(u-s)^2+c_0(t-s)^2\\ &\leqslant L(t-u)^2+\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)c\,(u-s)^2+c_0(t-s)^2\\ &\leqslant\bigg(\Big\{\Big(\frac{2}{n+1}\Big)^2+\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)\Big(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\Big)^2\Big\}L+c_0\bigg)(t-s)^2.\end{aligned}$$

To close the induction, it suffices to choose δ small enough to have the above term $\{\cdots\}<1$, and to choose L big enough to have $\{\cdots\}L+c_0\leqslant L$.

Applying the above reasoning to the $\big(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathrm{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$-valued maps $x\in\mathbb{R}^d\mapsto\big(\mu_{ts}(x),D_x\mu_{ts}\big)$ associated with the ordinary differential equation satisfies by $(y_u)_{0\leqslant u\leqslant 1}$ and $(D_xy_u)_{0\leqslant u\leqslant 1}$, where $y_0=x$, it follows from the analogue of (2.4) that $D_x\overline{\mu}^n_{ts}=\bigcirc_{i=0}^{n-1}D_x\mu_{s_{i+1}s_i}$ remains bounded, so the maps $\big\{\overline{\mu}^n_{ts}\,;\,n\geqslant 2,\,t-s\leqslant\delta\big\}$ are uniformly Lipschitz continuous, with a Lipschitz constant no greater than $1+c_1(t-s)$, for some positive constant c_1.

2. The existence and uniqueness proofs of the statement of theorem 1 both rely on the following elementary identity

$$f_m\circ\cdots\circ f_1-g_m\circ\cdots\circ g_1=\sum_{i=1}^m\Big(g_m\circ\cdots\circ g_{m-i+1}\circ f_{m-i}-g_m\circ\cdots\circ g_{m-i+1}\circ g_{m-i}\Big)\circ f_{m-i-1}\circ\cdots\circ f_1, \tag{2.5}$$

where the g_i and f_i are maps from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to itself, and where we use the obvious convention concerning the summand for the first and last term of the sum. In particular, if all the maps $g_m \circ \cdots \circ g_k$ are Lipschitz continuous, with a common Lipschitz constant c', then

$$\big\| f_m \circ \cdots \circ f_1 - g_m \circ \cdots \circ g_1 \big\|_\infty \leqslant c' \sum_{i=1}^{m} \| f_i - g_i \|_\infty. \tag{2.6}$$

a) Existence. Set $\mathrm{D}_\delta := \big\{ 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T\,;\, t-s \leqslant \delta \big\}$ and write $\mathbb{D}_\delta$ for the intersection of D_δ with the set of dyadic real numbers. Given $s = a2^{-n_0}$ and $t = b2^{-n_0}$ in $\mathbb{D}_\delta$, define for $n \geqslant n_0$

$$\mu^{(n)}_{ts} = \mu_{s_{N(n)} s_{N(n)-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_1 s_0},$$

where $s_i = s + i2^{-n}$ and $s_{N(n)} = t$. Given $n \geqslant n_0$, write

$$\mu^{(n+1)}_{ts} = \bigcirc_{i=0}^{N(n)-1} \big(\mu_{s_{i+1} s_i + 2^{-n-1}} \circ \mu_{s_i + 2^{-n-1} s_i} \big)$$

and use (2.5) with $f_i = \mu_{s_{i+1} s_i + 2^{-n-1}} \circ \mu_{s_i + 2^{-n-1} s_i}$ and $g_i = \mu_{s_{i+1} s_i}$ and the fact that all the maps $\mu_{s_{N(n)} s_{N(n)-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_{N(n)-i+1} s_{N(n)-i}}$ are Lipschitz continuous with a common Lipschitz constant c', as established in point 1 above, to get by (2.6) and (2.2)

$$\big\| \mu^{(n+1)}_{ts} - \mu^{(n)}_{ts} \big\|_\infty \leqslant c' \sum_{i=0}^{N(n)-1} \big\| \mu_{s_{i+1} s_i + 2^{-n-1}} \circ \mu_{s_i + 2^{-n-1} s_i} - \mu_{s_{i+1} s_i} \big\|_\infty \leqslant O(2^{-n});$$

so $\mu^{(n)}$ converges uniformly on $\mathbb{D}_\delta$ to some continuous function φ. We see that φ satisfies inequality (2.3) on $\mathbb{D}_\delta$ as a consequence of (2.4), since the $\mu^{(n)}$ are of the form $\overline{\mu}^{k(n)}$. As φ is a uniformly continuous function of $(s,t) \in \mathbb{D}_\delta$, by (2.3), it has a unique continuous extension to D_δ, still denoted by φ. To see that it is a flow on D_δ, notice that for dyadic times $s \leqslant u \leqslant t$, we have $\varphi^{(n)}_{ts} = \varphi^{(n)}_{tu} \circ \varphi^{(n)}_{us}$, for n big enough; so $\varphi_{ts} = \varphi_{tu} \circ \varphi_{us}$ for such triples of times in $\mathbb{D}_\delta$, hence for all times since φ is continuous. The map φ is easily extended as a flow to the whole of $\{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T\}$.

b) Uniqueness. Let ψ be any flow satisfying contion (2.3). With formulas (2.5) and (2.6) in mind, rewrite (2.3) under the form $\psi_{ts} = \mu_{ts} + O_c\big((t-s)^2\big)$, with obvious notations. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ts} = \psi_{s_{2^n} s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{s_1 s_0} &= \Big(\mu_{s_{2^n} s_{2^n-1}} + O_c\big(2^{-\frac{\gamma}{p} n}\big) \Big) \circ \cdots \circ \Big(\mu_{s_1 s_0} + O_c\big(2^{-\frac{\gamma}{p} n}\big) \Big) \\ &= \mu_{s_{2^n} s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_1 s_0} + \Delta_n = \mu^{(n)}_{ts} + \Delta_n, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_n is of the form of the right hand side of (2.5), so is bounded above by $O(2^{-n})$ since all the maps $\mu_{s_{2^n} s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_{2^n-\ell+1} s_{2^n-\ell}}$ are Lipschitz continuous with a common Lipschitz constant. Sending n to infinity shows that $\psi_{ts} = \varphi_{ts}$. $\triangleright$

With the solution flow to ordinary (controlled) differential equations constructed as above, the reader will easily convince herself/himself that the above proof is sufficiently robust to justify the following result, which is at the core of our approach to flows driven by rough paths.

THEOREM **2.** *Let* $\big(f_a(s,t\,;\,\cdot)\big)_{a=1..N}$ *be time dependent regular enough vector fields on* $\mathbb{R}^d$*, uniformly continuous on* $\{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T\}$*. Let* $\nu_{ts}(x,A) = \big(\mu_{ts}(x), M_{ts}(x,A)\big)$ *be the* *map from* $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *to itself which associates to* (x, A) *the time* 1 *value of the ordinary differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \dot y_u &= \sum_{a=1..N} f_a\big(s,t\,;\,y_u\big) \\ \dot z_u &= \sum_{a=1..N} D_{y_u} f_a(s,t\,;\,\cdot) z_u \end{aligned}$$

started from (x, A). *Suppose that there exists* $\alpha > 1$ *and a positive constant* c_0 *such that*

$$\big\|\nu_{tu}\circ\nu_{us} - \nu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c_0\,|t-s|^\alpha$$

holds for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$. *Then there exists a unique flow* $(\varphi_{ts})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant 1}$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *to which one can associate two positive constants* c *and* δ *such that*

$$\big\|\varphi_{ts} - \mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c|t-s|^\alpha$$

holds whenever $t - s \leqslant \delta$.

The approach to rough paths driven dynamics developed in this work is a variation on this result and its proof. Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths (for $2 < p < 3$), which control the rough differential equation (1.1) and play the role of h, are defined in section 2.1. As $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued objects, they are not regular enough to provide the crucial property (2.2) and define the solution flow as above. The missing bit of information needed to stabilize the situation is a substitute of the non-existing iterated integrals $\int_s^t X_r^j dX_r^k$, which provide a description of what happened to X during any time interval (s,t). The second part of a weak geometric p-rough path plays precisely that role. An approximate solution flows is defined from ordinary differential equation involving the vector fields $V, V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ and the driving rough path $\mathbf{X}$, and studied in sections 2.2 and 2.3, where we prove an analogue of inequality (2.4). A solution flow to equation (1.1) is then defined in section 2.4 as a flow uniformly well approximated by the approximate solution flow; theorem 14 provides the main result of this section, under the form of a well-posedness result. The general case of a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path, for any $p > 2$, is considered in section 3, while branched rough paths and their associated dynamics are the subject of section 5.

2.1. Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths.

Given a smooth $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued path $(x_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$, it is an elementary, though important, fact that the map $\mathbf{X}_x : (s,t) \mapsto \Big(x_t - x_s, \int_s^t\int_s^r dx_u \otimes dx_r\Big) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \big(\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d\big)$, takes its values in a particular subset of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \big(\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d\big)$ specified by algebraic conditions. It is precisely these conditions which appear in the definition below.

DEFINITION **3.** *Let* $2 < p < 3$ *be given. A* **Hölder weak geometric p-rough path on the interval** $[0, T]$ *is a function* $\mathbf{X} : (s,t) \mapsto (X_{ts}, \mathbb{X}_{ts})$ *from the set* $\{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T\}$ *to* $\mathbb{R}^\ell \times (\mathbb{R}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ *satisfying the* algebraic *requirements*

- (i) $X_{tr} = X_{us} + X_{tu}$, *for all* $0 \leqslant s \leqslant u \leqslant t \leqslant T$,
- (ii) $\mathbb{X}_{ts} = \mathbb{X}_{us} + \mathbb{X}_{tu} + X_{us} \otimes X_{tu}$, *for all* $0 \leqslant s \leqslant u \leqslant t \leqslant T$,
- (iii) *the symmetric part of* $\mathbb{X}_{ts}$ *is* $\frac{1}{2} X_{ts} \otimes X_{ts}$, *for any* $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$,

as well as the analytic *conditions*

- (a) X *is* $\frac{1}{p}$*-Hölder continuous:* $\|X\|_{\frac{1}{p}} := \sup_{0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T} \frac{|X_{ts}|}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}} < \infty$,
- (b) $\|\mathbb{X}\|_{\frac{2}{p}} := \sup\limits_{0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T} \frac{|\mathbb{X}_{ts}|}{|t-s|^{\frac{2}{p}}} < \infty$.

The algebraic conditions satisfied by a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path justify thinking of its $\big(\mathbb{R}^d\otimes\mathbb{R}^d\big)$-part as a kind of iterated integral of X against itself. Condition (ii) is nothing but the analogue of the elementary property $\int_s^t\int_s^r = \int_s^u\int_s^r + \int_u^t\int_s^u + \int_u^t\int_u^r$, satisfied by any reasonable notion of integral on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_s^t = \int_s^u + \int_u^t$. Note that condition (i) on X implies that $X_{ss}=0$ for all s. We write X^i_{ts} and $\mathbb{X}^{jk}_{ts}$ for the components in the canonical basis of X_{ts} and $\mathbb{X}_{ts}$ respectively. Note that the space of p-rough paths is not a vector space as the algebraic conditions on $\mathbb{X}$ are not linear. We define a norm on the space of Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths setting

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \|X\|_{\frac{1}{p}} \vee \|\mathbb{X}\|_{\frac{2}{p}},$$

with associated distance function $d(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}) = \|\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{Y}\|$, although $\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{Y}$ is not a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. The map $\mathbf{X}_x$ associated with a smooth path is a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. The term "weak" was coined so as to emphasize the fact that there are Hölder geometric p-rough paths, as defined above, which are not limit of some $\mathbf{X}_x$ in the metric topology associated with d – see for instance [8] or [4].

Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths appear naturally in a probabilistic context. Let B be a Brownian motion; the random process $\mathbf{B} = \Big(B_t - B_s,\, \int_s^t B_r\otimes\circ dB_r\Big)_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ is almost-surely a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path, for any $2<p<3$. It is called the **Brownian rough path**. It is elementary to prove that $\mathbb{E}\big[\|\mathbf{B}\|^r\big]$ is finite for all $r\geqslant 1$, using the scaling properties of Brownian motion – see for instance [4], chap. 13. More generally, Brownian motion can be replaced by any martingale enjoying the same regularity properties as Brownian motion. Note that using an Ito integral, $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{I}} = \Big(B_t-B_s,\, \int_s^t B_r\otimes dB_r\Big)_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ defines a function satisfying all conditions of definition 3 except (iii) since $B^j_{ts}B^k_{ts}$ is not equal to $\int_s^t B^j_r dB^k_r + \int_s^t B^k_r dB^j_r$ for $j=k$; it is not a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path.

2.2. **Approximate rough differential equation.** Given $2<p<\gamma\leqslant 3$, let V be a globally Lipschitz vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_1,\dots,V_\ell$ be γ-Lipschitz vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. For $0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T$, denote by μ_{ts} the map from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to itself which associates to any $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ the value at time 1 of the well-defined and unique solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{du}y_u = (t-s)V(y_u) + X^i_{ts}V_i(y_u) + \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\mathbb{X}_{ts} - \frac{1}{2}X_{ts}\otimes X_{ts}\Big\}^{jk}[V_j,V_k](y_u), \quad 0\leqslant u\leqslant 1, \tag{2.7}$$

with $y_0 = x$. This equation is to be understood as the classical ordinary differential equation version of equation (1.1); the definition of a solution flow to (1.1) given in section 2.4 will make that point clear. The following property of μ_{ts} is the main reason for its introduction. Write λ for the Lipschitz constant of V.

Proposition **4.** *Suppose V is bounded and let M be a common upper bound for the $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz norm of $V_1,\dots,V_\ell$ and the supremum norm of V. There exists a positive constant c, depending only on M,λ,T such that we have for all $f\in\mathcal{C}^\gamma$*

$$\Big\|f\circ\mu_{ts} - \Big\{f + (t-s)(Vf) + X^i_{ts}(V_if) + \mathbb{X}^{jk}_{ts}\big(V_jV_kf\big)\Big\}\Big\|_\infty \leqslant c\,\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof – To shorten notations, write a for $(t-s)$, b^i for X^i_{st} and c^{jk} for $\frac{1}{2}\big\{\mathbb{X}_{st} - \frac{1}{2}X_{st}\otimes X_{st}\big\}^{jk}$. Let c_1 be a positive constant such that $|b|\leqslant c_1\|\mathbf{X}\||t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $|c|\leqslant c_1\|\mathbf{X}\||t-$

$s|^{\frac{2}{p}}$. Note that there is a constant c_2 depending only on M and T such that we have for all $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$

$$|y_u - x| \leqslant M\big(c_2 + \|\mathbf{X}\|\big)|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Setting

$$(\star) := f\big(\mu_{ts}(x)\big) - \Big\{x + a(Vf)(x) + b^i(V_if)(x) + c^{jk}\big([V_j,V_k]f\big)(x)\Big\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\star) = \int_0^1 \Big(& a\big\{(Vf)(y_u) - (Vf)(x)\big\} + b^i\big\{(V_if)(y_u) - (V_if)(x)\big\} \\ & + c^{jk}\big\{\big([V_j,V_k]f\big)(y_u) - \big([V_j,V_k]f\big)(x)\big\}\Big)\, du,\end{aligned}$$

which we rewrite under the form

$$\begin{aligned}(\star) =& a\int_0^1 \big\{(Vf)(y_u) - (Vf)(x)\big\}du + \\ & b^i\int_0^1\int_0^u \Big\{a(VV_if)(y_r) + b^{i'}(V_{i'}V_if)(y_r) + c^{jk}\big([V_j,V_k]V_if\big)(y_r)\Big\}\, drdu + \\ & c^{jk}\int_0^1 \big\{\big([V_j,V_k]f\big)(y_u) - \big([V_j,V_k]f\big)(x)\big\}\, du.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of Vf and (2.9), there is a positive constant c_3 depending only on M, λ and T such that the first integral above has absolute value no greater than $c_3 M\big(2 + \|\mathbf{X}\|\big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}|t-s|^{1+\frac{1}{p}}$. As $[V_j,V_k]$ is $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz, hence $(\gamma-2)$-Lipschitz, the function $[V_j,V_k]f$ is also $(\gamma-2)$-Lipschitz, so the third integral above has absolute value no greater than $2M^\gamma\big(c_3 + \|\mathbf{X}\|\big)^{\gamma-2}\|\mathbf{X}\|\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$. The second double integral in (2.10) is of the form

$$b^i\Big(aA_i + b^{i'}\int_0^1\int_0^u (V_{i'}V_if)(y_r)\, drdu + c^{jk}C_{ijk}\Big),$$

with $|A_i| \leqslant 2M^2\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}, |C_{ijk}| \leqslant 2M^3\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}$. Also, by the $(\gamma-2)$-Lipschitz character of the vector field $V_{i'}V_i$, we have by (2.9)

$$\big|(V_{i'}V_if)(y_r) - (V_{i'}V_if)(x)\big| \leqslant M^2\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}\Big(M\big(c_3 + \|\mathbf{X}\|\big)|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big)^{\gamma-2}.$$

It follows that

$$\Big|f\big(\mu_{ts}(x)\big) - \Big(f(x) + a(Vf)(x) + b^i(V_if)(x) + c^{jk}\big([V_j,V_k]f\big)(x) + \frac{b^jb^k}{2}(V_jV_kf)(x)\Big)\Big|$$

is no greater than $\big(c + \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$ for a postive constant c depending only on M, λ and T. It remains to use the fact that the symmetric part of $\mathbb{X}_{ts}$ is $\frac{1}{2}X_{ts}\otimes X_{ts}$ to see that $c^{jk}[V_j,V_k] + \frac{b^jb^k}{2}V_jV_k = \mathbb{X}_{ts}^{jk}\,V_jV_k$. ▷

Remark **5.** *We only use in the above proof the* $(\gamma-2)$*-Lipschitz character of the vector fields* V_jV_k *rather than their stronger* $(\gamma-1)$*-Lispchitz character. There is no longer uniqueness for the solution of the ordinary differential equation* (2.7) *if the* V_i *are only* $(\gamma-1)$*-Lipschitz, or if the vector field* V *is only supposed to be* ρ*-Lipschitz, for some positive* ρ*. However, the above proof shows that* (2.8) *holds in that case with the time* 1 *function associated with* any *solution of equation* (2.7) *in the role of* μ_{ts}*., with the exponent* $\frac{\gamma}{p}$ *replaced by* $\min\big\{1+\frac{\rho}{p}, \frac{\gamma}{p}\big\}$.

If the vector field V is $\mathcal{C}^1$ and $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ are $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$, the classical results on the dependence of solutions to ordinary differential equation with respect to some parameters. For any reals a, b^i, c^{jk}, the map $\exp\big(aV + b^iV_i + c^{jk}[V_j, V_k]\big)(x)$ associated with the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{du}\, y_u = aV(y_u) + b^iV_i(y_u) + c^{jk}\, [V_j, V_k](y_u), \quad 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,$$

is a continuous function of (a, b, c) and a continuously differentiable function of (b, c). The following basic fact comes as a consequence of the analytic properties of any Hölder weak geometric p-rough path and the above topology on the set of Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths. We write $\mu^{\mathbf{X}}_{ts}$ instead of μ_{ts} in the proposition below to emphasize its dependence on $\mathbf{X}$.

Proposition **6.**
- *The diffeomorphisms* $\big(\mu^{\mathbf{X}}_{ts}\big)_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ *depend continuously on* $\big((s,t), \mathbf{X}\big)$, *in the sense that*

  $$\max\Big\{\|\mu^{\mathbf{X}}_{ts} - \mu^{\mathbf{Y}}_{vu}\|_\infty, \big\|\big(\mu^{\mathbf{X}}_{ts}\big)^{-1} - \big(\mu^{\mathbf{Y}}_{vu}\big)^{-1}\big\|_\infty\Big\} \leqslant f\big((s,t), (u,v)\,;\, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}\big)$$

  for a continuous function f *with* $f\big((s,t), (s,t)\,;\, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}\big) = 0$, *for all* $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$, *and any Hölder weak geometric p-rough path* $\mathbf{X}$.
- *Also, all the* μ_{ts} *are uniformly Lipschitz continuous, with Lipschitz constant no greater than* $1 + c|t-s|^{1/p}$, *for a constant* $c = c'\,\big(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)$, *with* c' *depending only on* M *and* λ.

2.3. **Fundamental properties of the approximate flow.** The properties of $(\mu_{ts})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ described in propositions 7 and 10 below will be crucial to our analysis of rough differential equations made in section 2.4. They correspond to the inequalities (2.2) and (2.4) used in a crucial way in the proof of theorem 1. As usual, $\|h\|_\infty$ stands for the supremum norm of a map h from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to itself.

Proposition **7.** *There exists a positive constant c depending only on* M, λ *and* T, *such that*

$$\big\|\mu_{tu} \circ \mu_{us} - \mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c\big(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\, |t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$$

holds for all $0 \leqslant s < u < t \leqslant T$.

Proof – Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. To shorten notations, write here a for $(t-u)$, b^i for X^i_{tu}, c^{jk} for $\mathbb{X}^{jk}_{tu}$, and primed notations for the same quatities with u and t replaced by s and u respectively. In those terms,

$$\mu_{tu}\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) = \mu_{us}(x) + aV\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) + b^iV_i\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) + c^{jk}(V_jV_k)\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) + \varepsilon_{tu}(x),$$

where $\big\|\varepsilon_{tu}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c|t-u|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$, with the constant c of proposition 4. Using (2.8), (2.9) and Taylor formula, we obtain the following elementary estimates, where c_1 is a constant depending only on M, λ and T, and for which we only use the $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz character of the vector fields V_i.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|aV\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) - aV(x)\big| \leqslant c_1\big(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|\big)|u-s|^{1+\frac{1}{p}},\\
&\big|b^iV_i\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) - \big(b^iV_i + b^ib^{i'}V_{i'}V_i\big)(x)\big| \leqslant c_1\Big(1 + \max\big(\|\mathbf{X}\|, \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\Big)|u-s|^{\frac{3}{p}},\\
&\big|c^{jk}(V_jV_k)\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) - c^{jk}(V_jV_k)(x)\big| \leqslant c_1\big(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|\big)\|\mathbf{X}\||u-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We thus have

$$\mu_{tu}\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) = x + a'V(x) + b'^iV_i(x) + c'^{jk}(V_jV_k)(x) + aV(x) + b^iV_i(x) + b^{i'}b^i(V_{i'}V_i)(x) + c^{jk}(V_jV_k)(x) + \varepsilon_{tu}(x) + \varepsilon'_{us}(x), \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\big|\varepsilon'_{us}(x)\big| \leqslant 4c_1\Big\{1 + \max\big(\|\mathbf{X}\|, \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\Big\}|u-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} \leqslant 4\,c_1\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$$

and $\big|\varepsilon_{tu}(x)\big| \leqslant C|t-u|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}} \leqslant C|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$, for some positive constant C. Noting that we have

$$\begin{aligned} a' + a &= (u-s) + (t-u) = t-s, \\ b'^i + b^i &= X^i_{us} + X^i_{tu} = X^i_{ts}, \\ c'^{jk} + c^{jk} + b^jb^k &= \mathbb{X}^{jk}_{us} + \mathbb{X}^{jk}_{tu} + X^j_{us}X^k_{tu} = \mathbb{X}^{jk}_{ts}, \end{aligned}$$

identity (2.12) and estimate (2.8) in proposition 4 provide the awaited inequality:

$$\big|\mu_{tu}\big(\mu_{us}(x)\big) - \mu_{ts}(x)\big| \leqslant c\,\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}},$$

for a positive constant c depending only on M, λ and T. ▷

Remark **8.** *This remark is a sequel to remark 5; as emphasized in the proof, the proof of the above result uses only the fact that V_jV_k is $(\gamma-2)$-Lipschitz, for which it suffices that the V_i be $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz, so the conclusion of proposition 7 holds in the case where the V_i are only supposed to be $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz and if the vector field V is only ρ-Lipschitz, with an exponent $\frac{\gamma}{p}$ in the conclusion replaced by* $\min\big\{1+\frac{\rho}{p}, \frac{\gamma}{p}\big\}$*; the constant c depends in that case of M, ρ and T. From now on, we shall say that such a constant depends on the data of the problem.*

Given a partition $\pi = \{s < s_1 < \cdots < s_{n-1} < t\}$ of (s,t), and $u \in (s,t)$, denote by $\pi(s,u)$ and $\pi(u,t)$ the partitions of (s,u) and (u,t) induced by π. If u is one of the s_i then both cardinals of $\pi(s,u)$ and $\pi(u,t)$ are strictly smaller than n.

Definition **9.** *Let $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ be given. A partition $\pi = \{s = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{n-1} < s_n = t\}$ of (s,t) is said to be* **of special type** *ϵ if we have $\epsilon \leqslant \frac{s_i - s_{i-1}}{s_{i+1}-s_{i-1}} \leqslant 1-\epsilon$, for all $i = 1\dots n-1$. The trivial partition of any interval into the interval itself is also said to be of special type ϵ.*

A partition of any interval into sub-intervals of equal length if for instance of special type $\frac{1}{3}$; the dyadic partition $\{s < \cdots < s + k2^{-n}(t-s) < \cdots < t\}$ is of special type $\frac{1}{2}$. Given a partition $\pi = \{s = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{n-1} < s_n = t\}$ of (s,t) of special type ϵ and $u \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$, the induced partitions of the intervals (s,u) and (u,t) are also of special type ϵ. Given any partition $\pi(s,t) = \{s_0 = s < \cdots < s_{n-1} < t = s_n\}$ of the interval (s,t), set

$$\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,t)} = \mu_{s_ns_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_1s_0}.$$

Proposition **10.** *Let $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ be given. There exists some positive constants c_0 depending on ϵ and the data of the problem, and δ depending on $\|\mathbf{X}\|$ as well, such that for any $0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T$ with $t-s \leqslant \delta$, and any partition $\pi(s,t)$ of (s,t) of special type ϵ, we have*

$$\big\|\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,t)} - \mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c_0\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof – Write L for $c_0\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)$, with a constant c_0 to be determined later. We proceed by induction on the number n of sub-intervals of the partition. The case $n=2$ is a consequence of proposition 7. Suppose the statement has been proved for $n\geqslant 2$. Fix $0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T$ with $t-s\leqslant\delta$, and let $\pi(s,t)=\{s_0=s<s_1<\cdots<s_n<s_{n+1}=t\}$ be a partition of (s,t) of special type ϵ, splitting the interval (s,t) into $(n+1)$ sub-intervals. Set $u=s_{p_1}$, so the two partitions $\pi(s,u)$ and $\pi(u,t)$ are both of special type ϵ. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,t)}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty &\leqslant \big\|\overline{\mu}_{\pi(u,t)}\circ\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,u)}-\mu_{tu}\circ\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,u)}\big\|_\infty+\big\|\mu_{tu}\circ\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,u)}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty\\ &\leqslant \big\|\overline{\mu}_{\pi(u,t)}-\mu_{tu}\big\|_\infty+\big\|\mu_{tu}\circ\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,u)}-\mu_{tu}\circ\mu_{us}\big\|_\infty+\big\|\mu_{tu}\circ\mu_{us}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty\\ &\leqslant L|t-u|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}+\Big(1+c\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\delta^{\frac1p}\Big)L\,|u-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}+c\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}},\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

since $\pi(u,t)$ and $\pi(s,u)$ are partitions of special type ϵ, and by the induction hypothesis together with propositions 6 and 7. Set $u-s=\alpha(t-s)$, with $\epsilon\leqslant\alpha\leqslant1-\epsilon$. The above inequality rewrites with shorthand notations

$$\big\|\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,t)}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty\leqslant\Big\{\Big((1-\alpha)^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}+\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)\alpha^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\Big)L+a\Big\}\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}.$$

In order to close the induction, we need to choose L and δ satisfying the condition

$$a+\Big\{(1-\alpha)^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}+\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)\alpha^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\Big\}L\leqslant L.\tag{2.15}$$

As $\epsilon\leqslant\alpha\leqslant1-\epsilon$, choose first δ small enough to have $(1-\alpha)^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}+\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)\alpha^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}<1$, then choose L big enough to have $a<\big(1-(1-\alpha)^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}-\big(1+o_\delta(1)\big)\alpha^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big)L$. The choice of $\delta^{1/p}=\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{-\gamma/p}-1}{2c(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma)}$, leads for instance to $L=\frac{2c}{1-(1-\epsilon)^{-\gamma/p}}\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)$. ▷

Remark **11.** *The conclusion of proposition 10 holds under the assumptions that V is ρ-Lipschitz and the V_i are $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz, with an exponent* $\min\big\{1+\frac{\rho}{p},\frac{\gamma}{p}\big\}$ *in* (2.13) *instead of* $\frac{\gamma}{p}$.

It is important to notice here that the statements of propositions 4, 7 and 10 hold under a relaxed hypothesis allowing linear growth of the vector fields V and V_i, under the form given below in (2.16) and (2.17). We still suppose that V is Lipschitz continuous and the differentials of the V_i of order at most $\big([p]-1\big)$ are bounded and their differentials of order $\big([p]-1\big)$ are $(\gamma-[p])$-Hölder continuous. We talk in that case of $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz (resp. Lipschitz continuous) vector fields with linear growth. In that setting, the proof of proposition 4 gives the existence of a positive constant c such that

$$\Big|f\big(\mu_{ts}(x)\big)-\Big\{f(x)+(t-s)(Vf)(x)+X^i_{ts}(V_if)(x)+\mathbb{X}^{jk}_{ts}(V_jV_kf)(x)\Big\}\Big|\leqslant c\,\big\{1\vee|x|\big\}\|f\|_\gamma\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\tag{2.16}$$

holds for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and any $f\in\mathcal{C}^\gamma$. Similarly, the proof of proposition 10 gives the existence of two positive constants δ and L such that

$$\big|\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,t)}(x)-\mu_{ts}(x)\big|\leqslant L\big\{1\vee|x|\big\}\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}},\tag{2.17}$$

holds for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, all $(s,t)\in D_\delta$ and any partition $\pi(s,t)$ of (s,t) of special type ϵ. Inequalities (2.16) and (2.17) also hold if we only suppose that V is ρ-Lipschitz, with the exponent $\frac{\gamma}{p}$ replaced by $\min\big\{1+\frac{\rho}{p},\frac{\gamma}{p}\big\}$.

Suppose the vector field V is $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz and the vector fields V_i are γ-Lipschitz. Recall the constant M appearing implicitly in (2.13) via c_0 is an upper bound for the $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz norm of the γ-Lipschitz vector fields V_i. This gain in the norms is useful in that it enables us to apply (2.13) to the dynamics asscociated with the ordinary differential equation satisfied by the differential with respect to x of the solution $(y_u)_{0\leqslant u\leqslant 1}$ to equation (2.7), involving the ρ-Lipschitz, resp. $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz, vector fields $(x,A)\mapsto \big(V(x),\big(D_xV\big)A\big)$, and $(x,A)\mapsto\big(V_i(x),\big(D_xV_i\big)A\big)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d\times \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Set

$$A_{ts}(x) = D_x y_1 = D_x\mu_{ts}(\cdot).$$

Then, by remarks 5, 8 and 11, estimates (2.16) and (2.17) hold for A_{ts}; as $A_{ss}=\mathrm{Id}$ for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant T$, it follows in particular from (2.17) that $\overline{A}_{\pi(s,t)} = D\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,t)}$ is bounded, independently of the special partition $\pi(s,t)$ and $0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T$ with $t-s\leqslant\delta$.

Corollary **12.** *Fix $\epsilon>0$. There exists some constants c and δ depending only on the data of the problem and $\|\mathbf{X}\|$ such that all the maps $\big\{\overline{\mu}_{\pi(s,t)}(\cdot)\,;\,\pi(s,t)$ of special type ϵ, $0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T,\ t-s\leqslant\delta\big\}$, are Lipschitz continuous, with Lipschitz constant no greater than $1+c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}$.*

By proposition 10, the constant c of the above corollary can be chosen to depend on $\mathbf{X}$ as a multiple of $1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^{\gamma}$.

2.4. Flows driven by Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths.

Definition **13.** *Let $2<p<\gamma<3$ be given, V be a globally Lipschitz vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_1,\dots,V_\ell$ be $\mathcal{C}^2$ vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. Write F for $(V_1,\dots,V_\ell)$. A* **flow** *$(\varphi_{st})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ is said to* **solve the rough differential equation**

$$d\varphi = V\,dt + F\,d\mathbf{X} \tag{2.18}$$

if there exists a constant $a>1$, independent of $\mathbf{X}$, and two possibly $\mathbf{X}$-dependent positive constants δ and c, such that

$$\big\|\varphi_{ts}-\mu_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c\,|t-s|^a \tag{2.19}$$

holds for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T$ with $t-s\leqslant\delta$.

Note that any solution flow depends continuously on (s,t) in the topology of uniform convergence on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by proposition 6 and (2.19).

Theorem **14** (Well-posedness)**.** *Given $0<\rho<1$ and $2<p<\gamma\leqslant 3$, let V be a $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_1,\dots,V_\ell$ be γ-Lipschitz vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. Then the rough differential equation* (2.18) *has a unique solution flow. It takes its values in the space of uniformly Lipschitz continuous homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and depends continuously on $\mathbf{X}$.*

Proof of theorem 14 – We follow the proof of theorem 1 step by step, rewriting the details for the reader's convenience. Recall $\delta>0$ has been fixed in proposition 10 and set $\mathrm{D}_\delta := \big\{(s,t)\,;\,0\leq s\leqslant t\leqslant T,\ t-s\leqslant\delta\big\}$. Write a for $\min\big(1+\frac{\rho}{p},\frac{\gamma}{p}\big)$.

a) Existence. Write $\mathbb{D}_\delta$ for the intersection of D_δ with the set of dyadic real numbers. Given $s=a2^{-n_0}$ and $t=b2^{-n_0}$ in $\mathbb{D}_\delta$, define for $n\geqslant n_0$

$$\mu^{(n)}_{ts} = \mu_{s_{N(n)}s_{N(n)-1}}\circ\cdots\circ\mu_{s_1s_0},$$

where $s_i = s + i2^{-n}$ and $s_{N(n)} = t$. Given $n \geqslant n_0$, write

$$\mu_{ts}^{(n+1)} = \bigcirc_{i=0}^{N(n)-1} \big(\mu_{s_{i+1}s_i+2^{-n-1}} \circ \mu_{s_i+2^{-n-1}s_i}\big)$$

and use (2.5) with $f_i = \mu_{s_{i+1}s_i+2^{-n-1}} \circ \mu_{s_i+2^{-n-1}s_i}$ and $g_i = \mu_{s_{i+1}s_i}$ and the fact that all the maps $\mu_{s_{N(n)}s_{N(n)-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_{N(n)-i+1}s_{N(n)-i}}$ are Lipschitz continuous with a common Lipschitz constant c', by corollary 12, to get by (2.6) and proposition 7

$$\big\|\mu_{ts}^{(n+1)} - \mu_{ts}^{(n)}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c' \sum_{i=0}^{N(n)-1} \big\|\mu_{s_{i+1}s_i+2^{-n-1}} \circ \mu_{s_i+2^{-n-1}s_i} - \mu_{s_{i+1}s_i}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c\, 2^{(a-1)n};$$

so $\mu^{(n)}$ converges uniformly on $\mathbb{D}_\delta$ to some continuous function φ. We see that φ satisfies inequality (2.19) on $\mathbb{D}_\delta$ as a consequence of (2.13). As φ is a uniformly continuous function of $(s,t) \in \mathbb{D}_\delta$, by (2.19), it has a unique continuous extension to D_δ, still denoted by φ. We see that it is a flow on D_δ noticing that for dyadic times $s \leqslant u \leqslant t$, we have $\varphi_{ts}^{(n)} = \varphi_{tu}^{(n)} \circ \varphi_{us}^{(n)}$, for n big enough; so $\varphi_{ts} = \varphi_{tu} \circ \varphi_{us}$ for such triples of times in $\mathbb{D}_\delta$, hence for all such triples since φ is continuous.
We extend φ to the whole of $\big\{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T\big\}$ by partitioning $[0,T]$ into $\big\{0 < \delta < \cdots < (k-1)\delta < T \leqslant k\delta\big\}$ and setting, for $\ell < m$ and $s \in \big[\ell\delta, (\ell+1)\delta\big)$ and $t \in \big[m\delta, (m+1)\delta\big)$,

$$\varphi_{ts} = \varphi_{t\,m\delta} \circ \varphi_{m\delta\,(m-1)\delta} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{(\ell+2)\delta\,(\ell+1)\delta} \circ \varphi_{(\ell+1)\delta\,s}.$$

It is elementary to check that this formula defines a flow; it satisfies (2.19) with $c = c_0\big(1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)$, by proposition 10.

b) Uniqueness. Let ψ be any solution flow to equation (2.18). Rewrite (2.19) under the form $\psi_{ts} = \mu_{ts} + O_c\big(|t-s|^a\big)$, with obvious notations; then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{ts} &= \psi_{s_{2^n}s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{s_1s_0} = \Big(\mu_{s_{2^n}s_{2^n-1}} + O_c\big(2^{-an}\big)\Big) \circ \cdots \circ \Big(\mu_{s_1s_0} + O_c\big(2^{-an}\big)\Big) \\ &= \mu_{s_{2^n}s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_1s_0} + \Delta_n = \mu_{ts}^{(n)} + \Delta_n,\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where Δ_n is of the form of the right hand side of (2.5), so is bounded above by $c'c\,2^{-(a-1)n}$, since all the maps $\mu_{s_{2^n}s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_{2^n-\ell+1}s_{2^n-\ell}}$ are Lipschitz continuous with a common Lipschitz constant c', by corollary 12. Sending n to infinity shows that $\psi_{ts} = \varphi_{ts}$.

c) Properties of the solution flow φ. Arrived at that point, we have proved that the rough differential equation (2.18) has a unique solution, with values in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. As all the bounds used above are uniform for $\mathbf{X}$ in a bounded set of the space of Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths, and each $\mu^{(n)}$ is a continuous function of $\mathbf{X}$, by proposition 6, the flow φ depends continuously on $\big((s,t), \mathbf{X}\big)$.
To prove that it actually takes values in the group of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we shall see in section 3.1 that $(\varphi_{ts}^{-1})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ can be identified with the solution flow of a rough differential equation driven by a rough path $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{X}}$ constructed from $\mathbf{X}$.

As all the $\mu_{ts}^{(n)}$ are uniformly Lipschitz continuous maps of $\mathbb{R}^d$, by corollary 12, the limit maps φ_{ts} have that property as well; the same holds for their inverses. ▷

REMARKS **15.** (1) *Note that one can actually prove that the maps* φ_{ts} *are* $\mathcal{C}^1$-diffeomorphisms*, although the above appproach does not make it elementary – see for instance* [4]*. This is however a direct consequence of the above results if we suppose that* V *is* $(2+\rho)$*-Lipschitz and the vector fields* V_i *are* $(\gamma+1)$*-Lipschitz.*

(2) **Convergence speed of Euler schemes.** *Given* any *partition* $\pi = \big\{s = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{n-1} < s_n = t\big\}$ *of* (s,t)*, with mesh* $|\pi| = \max\limits_{i=0..n-1}(s_{i+1}-s_i)$*, applying* (2.6) *with* $f_i = \mu_{s_{i+1}s_i}$ *and* $g_i = \varphi_{s_{i+1}s_i}$ *and the fact that the maps* φ_{ba} *form a flow of uniformly Lipchitz continuous maps, with common upper bound* $c' = c\big(2+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)$ *for their Lipschitz constants, we have by* (2.19)

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\overline{\mu}_\pi - \varphi_{ts}\big\|_\infty &\leqslant c'\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\big\|\varphi_{s_{i+1}s_i} - \mu_{s_{i+1}s_i}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c\big(2+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)^2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}|s_{i+1}-s_i|^a \\ &\leqslant c\big(2+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)^2 T\,\big|\pi(s,t)\big|^{a-1},\end{aligned}$$

This estimate refines and generalizes the corresponding estimate of Lejay [11] *in our setting with a drift. See also* [12] *for a similar result, proved using the heavy machinery of sub-Riemannian geometry.*

(3) **More general drift.** *The well-posedness result stated in theorem 14 admits an easy generalization to the dynamics*

$$d\varphi = V\,dh + f\,d\mathbf{X}, \tag{2.21}$$

where h *is an* α*-Hölder continuous real-valued function, with* $\alpha \in \big(\frac12, 1\big]$*. The map* μ_{ts} *is replaced in that case by the time* 1 *map* μ^h_{ts} *associated with the ordinary differential equation*

$$\dot y_u = \big(h(t)-h(s)\big)V(y_u) + X^i_{ts}V_i(y_u) + \frac12\Big\{\mathbb{X}_{ts} - \frac12 X_{ts}\otimes X_{ts}\Big\}^{jk}[V_j,V_k](y_u).$$

Proceeding as in the proof of proposition 4, one shows that

$$\Big\|f\circ\mu^h_{ts} - \Big\{f + \big(h(t)-h(s)\big)(Vf) + X^i_{ts}(V_if) + \mathbb{X}^{jk}_{ts}(V_jV_kf)\Big\}\Big\|_\infty \leqslant c_f\,|t-s|^a,$$

provided $\alpha > \frac{a}{2}$*. Defining a solution flow to the rough differential equation* (2.21) *as a flow* φ *for which there exists some positive constants* δ *and* c *such that* $\|\varphi_{ts} - \mu^h_{ts}\|_\infty \leqslant c\,|t-s|^a$*, for all* $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ *with* $t-s \leqslant \delta$*, we can see as above that this equation is well-posed under the hypotheses of theorem 14, for* $\alpha > \frac{a}{2}$*.*

(4) **Link with Stratonovich stochastic differential equations.** *In a probabilistic context where* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a realization of the Brownian rough path* $\mathbf{B} = (B,\mathbb{B})$*, theorem 14 provides a pathwise construction of the unique strong solution of the Stratonovich differential equation*

$$dx_t = V(x_t)dt + V_i(x_t)\circ dB^i_t, \tag{2.22}$$

with x_0 *fixed; identity* (2.19) *can be seen as a quantitative version of Ito's formula. Indeed, setting* $x_t = \varphi_{t0}(x_0)$*, we have for any* $\mathcal{C}^3$ *function* g *with compact support*

$$\Big|g(x_{t+\epsilon}) - g(x_t) - \epsilon(Vg)(x_t) - (B_{t+\epsilon}-B_t)^i(V_ig)(x_t) - \mathbb{B}^{jk}_{t+\epsilon\,t}\big(V_jV_kg\big)(x_t)\Big| \leqslant c\,\big(1+\|\mathbf{B}\|^\gamma\big)\,\epsilon^a,$$

by (2.19) *and proposition 4. It follows that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{g(x_{t+\epsilon})-g(x_t)}{\epsilon}\,\Big|\,\sigma(x_s\,;\,s\leqslant t)\right]\underset{\epsilon\searrow 0}{\longrightarrow}\Big(Vg+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}V_i^2g\Big)(x_t),$$

since $\mathbb{E}\big[\|\mathbf{B}\|^{\gamma}\big]$ *is finite. The law of* $(x_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ *is thus a solution of the martingale problem associated with the above Stratonovich differential equation; the general theory of stochastic differential equations ensures that* $(x_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ *is for any* x_0 *the unique strong solution of* (2.22) *started from* x_0.

(5) **Linear growth of the vector fields** V, V_i**.** *It is not difficult to give a version of theorem 14 under the relaxed assumptions of linear growth of the vector fields* V *and* V_i *given above at the end of section 2.3.*

DEFINITION **16.** *A flow* φ *is said to solve the rough differential equation* $d\varphi = V dt + F d\mathbf{X}$ *if there exists a constant* $a > 1$ *independent of* $\mathbf{X}$ *and two possibly* $\mathbf{X}$*-dependent positive constants* δ *and* c *such that*

$$\big|\varphi_{ts}(x)-\mu_{ts}(x)\big|\leqslant c\,\max\big\{1,|x|\big\}\,|t-s|^a$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, *and all* $0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T$ *with* $t-s\leqslant\delta$.

The well-posedness result stated in theorem 14 holds in that case. Its proof follows the proof of theorem 14 by replacing all uniform estimates by pointwise estimates; the fact that corollary 12 remains unchanged is crucial for that purpose. The solution flow is in that case a continuous function of $\mathbf{X}$ *for the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of* $\mathbb{R}^d$.

It follows in particular from that result that no explosion can occur, providing an alternative proof of the result obtained by Lejay for p-rough paths, with $2<p<3$, *in* [11]. *See section 3 for the general case.*

(6) **Controlled weak geometric** p**-rough path.** *It is straightforward to adapt the above arguments to the case of a driftless dynamics driven by a* controlled weak geometric p-rough path. *The Hölder regularity of* X *and* $\mathbb{X}$ *are replaced in that setting by the requirement that* $\sup_{0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T}\frac{|X_{ts}|}{\omega(s,t)^{\frac{1}{p}}}<\infty$ *and* $\sup_{0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T}\frac{|\mathbb{X}_{ts}|}{\omega(s,t)^{\frac{2}{p}}}<\infty$, *where the control function* ω *is positive outisde the diagonal and satisfies the inequality*

$$\omega(r,s)+\omega(s,t)\leqslant\omega(r,t)$$

for all $0\leqslant r\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T$. *An example is* $\omega(s,t)=\phi(t)-\phi(s)$, *for an increasing continuous function* ϕ, *or any control* ω *such that* $\frac{\omega(s,t)}{\phi(t)-\phi(s)}$ *is bounded and bounded away from* 0 *on the time interval* $[0,T]$. *The time increments* $t-s$ *or* $t-r$ *appearing in propositions 4, 7 and 10 and in the definition of a special partition need to be replaced by* $\omega(s,t)$ *and* $\omega(r,t)$ *respectively.*

There is little loss of generality in working with controls for which the partitions of any interval into sub-intervals of equal length is of special type ϵ, *for some positive* ϵ. *Adapting accordingly the definition of a solution flow to the rough differential equation* $d\varphi = f d\mathbf{X}$, *theorem 14 holds in that setting. With the above example of control, theorem 14 (and its generalization theorem 24) extends the results of Lejay* [11]. *Note that by remark (3), and for* $\omega(s,t)=\phi(t)-\phi(s)$, *we can add a drift* $V dh$ *to the dynamics provided the function* h *is such that* $\big|h(t)-h(s)\big|\leqslant c\big|\phi(t)-\phi(s)\big|^{\alpha}$, *with* $\frac{\gamma}{2p}<\alpha\leqslant 1$.

The remarks of this paragraph also hold for the case of a flow driven by a general Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths, as described below.

3. FLOWS DRIVEN BY HÖLDER WEAK GEOMETRIC p-ROUGH PATHS, GENERAL CASE

As made clear by the definition of a weak geometric p-rough path, for $2 < p < 3$, a rough path takes values in a very special kind of algebraic structure, which we clarify in the following section before defining Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths, for any $2 < p$. We shall then give the same meaning to the equation $d\varphi = V\,dt + F\,d\mathbf{X}$, by associating first an approximate flow to $V, F = (V_1, \dots, V_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{X}$, and by showing that there is a unique flow close to the approximate flow.

3.1. An algebraic interlude: tensor algebra over $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ and free nilpotent Lie group.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$, write $T_\ell^{(N)}$ for the direct sum $\bigoplus_{r=0}^{N} \left(\mathbb{R}^\ell\right)^{\otimes r}$, with the convention that $\left(\mathbb{R}^\ell\right)^{\otimes 0}$ stands for $\mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathbf{a} = \overset{N}{\underset{r=0}{\oplus}} a_r$ and $\mathbf{b} = \overset{N}{\underset{r=0}{\oplus}} b_r$ two generic elements of $T_\ell^{(N)}$. The vector space $T_\ell^{(N)}$ is an algebra for the operations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b} = \overset{N}{\underset{r=0}{\oplus}} (a_r + b_r), \\ &\mathbf{ab} = \overset{N}{\underset{r=0}{\oplus}} c_r, \quad \text{with } c_r = \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_k \otimes b_{r-k} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

It is called the **(truncated) tensor algebra of** $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ (if N is finite). Looking at the coefficients c_1 and c_2, we recover the algebraic conditions (i) and (ii) of definition 3 that a weak geometric p-rough path needs to satisfy, for $2 < p < 3$.

The exponential map $\exp : T_\ell^{(\infty)} \to T_\ell^{(\infty)}$ and the logarithm map $\log : T_\ell^{(\infty)} \to T_\ell^{(\infty)}$ are defined by the usual series

$$\exp(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \frac{\mathbf{a}^n}{n!}, \quad \log(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}(1-\mathbf{b})^n, \tag{3.2}$$

with the convention $a^0 = 1 \in \mathbb{R} \subset T_\ell^{(\infty)}$. Denote by $\pi_N : T_\ell^{(\infty)} \to T_\ell^{(N)}$ the natural projection. We also denote by exp and log the restrictions to $T_\ell^{(N)}$ of the maps $\pi_N \circ \exp$ and $\pi_N \circ \log$ respectively. Denote by $T_\ell^{(N),1}$, resp. $T_\ell^{(N),0}$, the elements $a_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_N$ of $T_\ell^{(N)}$ such that $a_0 = 0$, resp. $a_0 = 1$. Then $\exp : T_\ell^{(N),0} \to T_\ell^{(N),1}$ and $\log : T_\ell^{(N),1} \to T_\ell^{(N),0}$ are reciprocal bijections.

The formula $[\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}] = \mathbf{ab} - \mathbf{ba}$ defines a Lie bracket on $T_\ell^{(N)}$. Define inductively $E^1 = \mathbb{R}^\ell$, considered as a subset of $T_\ell^{(\infty)}$, and $E^{n+1} = [E, E^n] \subset T_\ell^{(\infty)}$.

DEFINITION **17.**
- *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^N$ generated by the $E^1, \dots, E^N$ is called the N-***step free nilpotent Lie algebra**.
- *As a consequence of Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff-Dynkin formula, the subset* $\exp\left(\mathfrak{g}_\ell^N\right)$ *of $T_\ell^{(N)}$ is a group for the multiplication operation. It is called the N-***step nilpotent Lie group** *on $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ and denoted by $G_\ell^{(N)}$.*

Note that the restriction to $G_\ell^{(q)}$ of the projection map $\pi_{pq} : T_\ell^{(q)} \to T_\ell^{(p)}$, sending $a_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_q$ to $a_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_p$, provides a natural projection π_{pq} from $G_\ell^{(q)}$ to $G_\ell^{(p)}$ for any $p < q$.

Note also that the algebraic requirements (i)-(iii) satisfied by a weak geometric rough path, with $2 < p < 3$, are actually equivalent ro requiring that $\mathbf{X}_{ts} = X_{ts} \oplus \mathbb{X}_{ts}$ should be an element of $\in G_\ell^{(2)}$, and that we should have $\mathbf{X}_{us}\mathbf{X}_{tu} = \mathbf{X}_{ts}$ for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant u \leqslant t \leqslant T$. As a consequence, $\mathbf{X}_{ts} = \mathbf{X}_{s0}^{-1}\mathbf{X}_{t0}$, so the $G_\ell^{(2)}$-valued *path* $(\mathbf{X}_{t0})_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ determines the 2-indices map $\mathbf{X} : (u, v) \mapsto \mathbf{X}_{vu}$.

This is the key remark for proving that the solution flow φ to the rough differential equation (2.18) is a homeomorphism. Indeed,

$$\left(\mu_{ts}^{(n)}\right)^{-1} = \mu_{s_1 s_0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{s_n s_{n-1}}^{-1}$$

can actually be written as $\widetilde{\mu}_{s_n s_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \widetilde{\mu}_{s_1 s_0}$, for the time 1 map $\widetilde{\mu}$ associated with the rough path $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{vu}$ associated with the $G_\ell^{(2)}$-valued path $\mathbf{X}_{t-\bullet\, 0}$. As $\widetilde{\mu}$ enjoys the same properties as μ, the maps $\left(\mu_{ts}^{(n)}\right)^{-1}$ converge uniformly to some continuous map φ_{ts}^{-1} which satisfies by construction $\varphi_{ts} \circ \varphi_{ts}^{-1} = \mathrm{Id}$.

3.2. **Hölder weak geometric p-rough path, general case.** The relevance of the algebraic framework provided by the N-step nilpotent Lie group for the study of smooth paths was first noted by Chen in his seminal work [13]. Indeed, for any $\mathbb{R}^\ell$-valued smooth path $(x_s)_{s \geqslant 0}$, the family of iterated integrals

$$\mathfrak{X}_{ts}^N := \left(x_t - x_s, \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} dx_{s_2} \otimes dx_{s_1}, \dots, \int_{s \leqslant s_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant s_N \leqslant t} dx_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes dx_{s_N} \right)$$

defines for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$ an element of $G_\ell^{(N)}$. It suffices to notice that, as a function of t, the function $\mathfrak{X}_{ts}^N$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathfrak{X}_{ts}^N = \mathfrak{X}_{ts}^N \otimes dx_t,$$

in $T_\ell^{(N)}$. Weak geometric rough paths are somehow an abstract version of these objects.

Definition 18. *Let $2 < p$. A* **Hölder weak geometric p-rough path on** $[0, T]$ *is a $G_\ell^{([p])}$-valued path $\mathbf{X} : t \in [0, T] \mapsto 1 \oplus X_t^1 \oplus X_t^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_t^{[p]}$ such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T} \frac{|X_{ts}^i|}{|t - s|^{\frac{i}{p}}} < \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $i = 1 \dots [p]$, where we set $\mathbf{X}_{ts} = \mathbf{X}_s^{-1}\mathbf{X}_t$. We define the norm of $\mathbf{X}$ to be

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \max_{i = 1 \dots [p]} \sup_{0 \leqslant s < t \leqslant T} \frac{|X_{ts}^i|}{|t - s|^{\frac{i}{p}}}, \tag{3.4}$$

and a distance $d(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}\|$ on the set of Hölder weak geometric p-rough path.

Denote by $(e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^\ell \subset T_\ell^{(\infty)}$ and write for a tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$

$$\mathbf{e}_{[I]} = \Big[e_{i_1}, \big[e_{i_2}, \dots [e_{i_{r-1}}, e_{i_r}] \big] \dots \Big], \qquad \text{and } \mathbf{e}_I = e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_r},$$

where the above products are in $T_\ell^{(\infty)}$. We also write $Y_t^{r,I}$ for the coordinates of an element Y of $T_\ell^{[p]}$ in its canonical basis.

Given a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path $\mathbf{X}$, denote by $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = 0 \oplus \Lambda^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda^{[p]}$ its logarithm, in Magnus-Chen-Strichartz form [10],

$$\exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} \Lambda_{ts}^{r,I} \mathbf{e}_{[I]}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} X_{ts}^{r,I} \mathbf{e}_I = \mathbf{X}_{ts}, \tag{3.5}$$

for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$; it takes values in the finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\ell^{[p]}$. Notice that since $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is polynomial in $\mathbf{X}$, by formula (3.2), it is a continuous function of $\mathbf{X}$.

Given a bounded Lipschitz continuous vector field V, and some γ-Lipschitz vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, let ρ_{ts} be the well-defined time 1 map associated with the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{y}_u = (t-s)V(y_u) + \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} \Lambda_{ts}^{r,I} V_{[I]}(y_u). \tag{3.6}$$

The following analogue of proposition 4 is our basic step for studying rough differential equations. Recall M is an upper bound for the $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz norm of the γ-Lipschitz vector fields V_i.

Proposition **19.** *Let $0 < \rho < 1$ and $2 < p < \gamma < [p]+1$ be given. Let V be a $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ be γ-Lipschitz vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$; let $\mathbf{X} = 1 \oplus X^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X^{[p]}$ be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. Set $a = \min\left(1+\frac{\rho}{p}, \frac{\gamma}{p}\right)$. Then there exists a positive constant c, depending only on M, ρ, T such that*

$$\left\| f\circ\rho_{ts} - \left\{ f + (t-s)Vf + \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} X_{ts}^{r,I} V_I f \right\} \right\|_\infty \leqslant c\big(2 + \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\, \|f\|_\gamma\, |t-s|^a \tag{3.7}$$

holds for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$. The maps ρ_{ts} depend continuously on $\big((s,t), \mathbf{X}\big)$ in uniform topology.

Proof – The proof rests on the following basic estimate: $|y_u - x| \leqslant c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}$, which holds for some positive constant c depending on M, ρ, T and $\|\mathbf{X}\|$. Using first the Lipschitz continuity of V and the preceeding inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\big(\rho_{ts}(x)\big) &= f(x) + (t-s)\int_0^1 (Vf)(y_u)\,du + \sum_{r_1=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I_1\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^{r_1}} \Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1} \int_0^1 \big(V_{[I]}f\big)(y_{s_1})\,ds_1, \\ &= f(x) + (t-s)(Vf)(x) + O_c\big(|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big) + \sum_{r_1=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I_1\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^{r_1}} \Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1} \int_0^1 \big(V_{[I]}f\big)(y_{s_1})\,ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

The terms $\Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1}\,\big(V_{[I_1]}f\big)(y_{s_1})$ with $r_1 = [p]$ are of the form $\Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1}\,\big(V_{[I_1]}f\big)(x) + O_c\big(|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big)$ since $V_{[I_{i_1}]}f$ is in that case $(\gamma-[p]+1)$-Lipschitz, hence $(\gamma-[p])$-Lipschitz. For the other terms we can write

$$\Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1}\,\big(V_{[I_1]}f\big)(y_{s_1}) = \Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1}\,\big(V_{[I_1]}f\big)(x) + O_c\big(|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big) + \Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1} \sum_{r_2=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I_2\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^{r_2}} \Lambda_{ts}^{r_2,I_2} \int_0^{s_1} \big(V_{[I_2]}V_{[I_1]}f\big)(y_{s_2})\,ds_2.$$

So we have after that first step

$$f\big(\rho_{ts}(x)\big) = f(x) + (t-s)(Vf)(x) + \sum_{r_1=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I_1\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^{r_1}} \Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1}\,\big(V_{[I_1]}f\big)(x)$$
$$+ \sum_{r_1+r_2\leqslant[p]} \sum_{I_1,I_2} \Lambda_{ts}^{r_1,I_1}\Lambda_{ts}^{r_2,I_2} \int_0^1\int_0^{s_1} \big(V_{[I_2]}V_{[I_1]}f\big)(y_{s_2})\,ds_2ds_1 + O_c\big(|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big),$$

where the above sum is on $I_1\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^{r_1}, I_2\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^{r_2}$, for r_1,r_2 bigger than or equal to 1. Repeating the above analysis $\big([p]-1\big)$ times gives the result in a straightforward way since (3.5) holds. By construction, the constant c of the statement depends only on M,λ and T. ▷

Remark **20.** *We only use in the above proof the $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz character of the vector fields V_j rather than their stronger γ-Lispchitz character. There is no longer uniqueness for the solution of the ordinary differential equation* (3.6) *if the V_i are only $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz or if V is ρ-Lipschitz, for some $0<\rho<1$. However, the above proof shows that* (3.7) *holds in that case as well, with the time* 1 *function associated with* any *solution of equation* (3.6) *in the role of ρ_{ts}, and the exponent $\frac{\gamma}{p}$ replaced by* $\min\big(\frac{1+\rho}{p},\frac{\gamma}{p}\big)$.

Once estimate (3.7) is proved, it is elementary to use the $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz character of the V_i and Taylor expansion as in the proof of proposition 7 to see that the following holds.

Proposition **21.** *There exists a positive constant c depending only on the data of the problem, such that*

$$\big\|\rho_{tu}\circ\rho_{us}-\rho_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c\big(2+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$$

for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant u\leqslant t\leqslant T$.

Using this proposition and the analoguous Lipschitz continuity statement for ρ_{ts}, as stated in proposition 6 for μ_{ts}, it is straightforward to proceed exactly as in the inductive proof of proposition 10 to justify the following important statement refining the above statement.

Proposition **22.** *Let $\epsilon\in(0,1)$ be given.*

(1) *If V is ρ-Lipschitz and the V_i are $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz, then there exists some positive constants L and δ depending only on the d of the problem, $\|\mathbf{X}\|$ and ϵ, such that for any $0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T$ with $t-s\leqslant\delta$, and any partition $\pi(s,t)$ of (s,t) of special type ϵ, we have*

$$\|\overline{\rho}_{\pi(s,t)}-\rho_{ts}\|_\infty\leqslant L|t-s|^a, \tag{3.8}$$

with $a=\big(1+\frac{\rho}{p},\frac{\gamma}{p}\big)$.

(2) *If V is $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz and the V_i are γ-Lipschitz, then there exists a constant c depending only on the data of the problem, ϵ and $\|\mathbf{X}\|$ such that all the maps $\big\{\overline{\rho}_{\pi(s,t)}(\cdot)\,;\,\pi(s,t)$ of special type ϵ, $(s,t)\in D$, $t-s\leqslant\delta\big\}$, are Lipschitz, with Lipschitz constant no greater than $1+c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}$.*

A careful track of the constants shows that the constants L and c of the above proposition depend on $\mathbf{X}$ as a multiple of $1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma$, as in proposition 21. This remark will be useful in section 7 when dealing with some mean field stochastic rough differential equations.

DEFINITION **23.** *Let $2 < p < \gamma < [p] + 1$ be given. Let $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ be $\mathcal{C}_b^2$-Lipschitz vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. Write F for $(V_1, \dots, V_\ell)$. Let V be a bounded Lipschitz continuous vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$. With the above notations, a* ***flow*** *$(\varphi_{ts}\,;\, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T)$ is said to* ***solve the rough differential equation***

$$d\varphi = V dt + F\, d\mathbf{X} \tag{3.9}$$

if there exists a constant $a > 1$ independent of $\mathbf{X}$ and two possibly $\mathbf{X}$-dependent positive constants δ and c such that

$$\|\varphi_{ts} - \rho_{ts}\|_\infty \leqslant c\, |t-s|^a \tag{3.10}$$

holds for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ with $t - s \leqslant \delta$.

With proposition 22 at hands, the proof of the well-posedness result theorem 14 adapts word by word and provides the following generalization of theorem 14.

THEOREM **24.** *Suppose V is $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz and the V_i are γ-Lipschitz. Then the rough differential equation $d\varphi = V dt + F\, d\mathbf{X}$ has a unique solution flow; it takes values in the space of uniformly Lipschitz continuous homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and depends continuously on $\mathbf{X}$.*

The continuity of the solution flow with respect to the driving rough path $\mathbf{X}$ has the following consequence, which justifies the point of view adopted by Friz and Victoir in their works. Suppose the Hölder weak geometric p-rough path $\mathbf{X}$ is the limit of the canonical Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths $\mathbf{X}^n$ associated with a smooth (or Lipschitz continuous) $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued path $(x^n_t)_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ through the data of its iterated integrals. It is elementary to see that the solution flow φ^n to the rough differential equation (3.9) with driving rough path $\mathbf{X}^n$ is the flow associated with the ordinary differential equation $\dot{y}_u = V(y_u)du + V_i(y_u)d(x^n_u)^i$. As $\|\varphi^n - \varphi\|_\infty = o_n(1)$, from the continuity of the solution flow with respect to the driving rough path, the flow φ appears in that case as a limit of the elementary flows φ^n. A Hölder weak geometric p-rough path with the above property is called a *Hölder geometric p-rough path*; not all Hölder weak geometric p-rough path are Hölder geometric p-rough path [8].

The definition of ρ_{ba} makes it clear that the geometric framework associated with the rough differential equation (3.9) is the sub-Riemannian geometry with horizontal spaces spanned by $V, V_1, \dots, V_\ell$. The identity $\varphi_{t0} = \lim_{n+\infty} \rho^{(n)}_{t0}$, gives for instance a construction of the paths $\big(\varphi_{t0}(x)\big)_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ as limits of the horizontal paths $\big(\rho^{(n)}_{t0}(x)\big)_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The proof of theorem 14 also makes it clear that the constant c appearing in the definition of a solution flow can be chosen to depend on $\mathbf{X}$ as a multiple of $1 + \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma$. It is straightforward to prove a well-posedness result for the rough differential equation $d\varphi = V\, dh + f d\mathbf{X}$ along the above lines of reasoning, for a q-Hölder path with $\min\big(\frac{1+\rho}{p}, \frac{\gamma}{p}\big) > \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$. For a less regular path h we need to construct some mixted integrals involving both h and $\mathbf{X}$ to prove such a well-posedness result. Consult [14] or [4], chap. 9.3, to see how this can be done.

For specialists: note that the construction of the solution flow φ_{ts} as a limit of the $\rho^{(n)}_{ts}$ provides a straightforward justification of Friz and Oberhauser's theorem about drift induced by perturbed driving signals; theorem 2 in [15].

REMARKS **25.** (1) **Convergence speed of Euler schemes.** *The proof of theorems 14 and 24 shows that for any partition $\pi(s,t)$ of special type of the interval (s,t) into n sub-intervals, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\overline{\rho}_{\pi(s,t)}-\varphi_{ts}\big\|_\infty &\leqslant c'\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\big\|\rho_{s_{i+1}s_i}-\varphi_{s_{i+1}s_i}\big\|_\infty \\ &\leqslant c_1\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}|s_{i+1}-s_i|^a\leqslant c_1T\,m^{a-1},\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

where $m=\max_{i=0..n-1}(s_{i+1}-s_i)$ is the mesh of the partition and $c_1=c\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)^2$. This is essentially Friz-Victoir's estimate [12] *in the setting of Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths; their exponent $\frac{[p]+1}{p}$ is slightly better than $a=\min\big(1+\frac{\rho}{p},\frac{\gamma}{p}\big)$ though. Compare the above simple proof with the sophisticated proof of* [12] *based on sub-Riemannian geometric arguments. As noted in remark 15 (4), it is straightforward to get an analogue result for any dynamics $d\varphi=Fd\mathbf{X}$ on flows driven by a controlled rough path.*

Estimate (3.11) *provides one of the two ingredients needed to state a universal bound on the convergence properties of some empirical estimators for $\mathbb{E}\big[g\big(\varphi_{t0}(x)\big)\big]$, with $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ fixed and any Lipschitz continuous function g with Lipschitz constant no greater than* 1. *Theorem 26 below applies in particular to Stratonovich differential equations driven by Brownian motion; it improves upon Malrieu and Talay's results* [16]. *Write $\varphi^{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\overline{\rho}^{\mathbf{X}}_{\pi(s,t)}$ to emphasize the dependence of these maps on $\mathbf{X}$; for an independent and identically distributed sequence $(\mathbf{X}^i)_{i\geqslant1}$, write $\overline{\rho}^{\,i}_{\pi(s,t)}$ for $\overline{\rho}^{\mathbf{X}^i}_{\pi(s,t)}$.*

THEOREM **26.** *Suppose the conditions of definition 23 on V and F hold. Let $(\mathbf{X}^i)_{i\geqslant1}$ be an identically distributed sequence of independent random Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths on the time interval $[0,T]$. Suppose $\delta:=\mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(\alpha\|\mathbf{X}\|^2\big)\big]$ is finite for some positive constant α. Then there exists some positive constants a,b,c_1,c_2 depending only on M,λ and T such that we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N g\big(\overline{\rho}^{\,i}_{\pi(0,t)}(x)\big)-\mathbb{E}\big[g\big(\varphi_{t0}(x)\big)\big]\Big|>r\Big)\leqslant 2e^{-aN\min(r^2,br)}+\delta\exp\Big(-\alpha\big(c_1m^{-\frac{\gamma-p}{2p}}-c_2\big)_+^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}\Big)$$

for any partition $\pi(0,t)$ of $(0,t)$ of special type with mesh m and any Lipschitz continuous function g, with Lipschitz constant no greater than 1.

PROOF – *Use inequality* (3.11) *and the Lipschitz character of g to get a straightforward upper bound for $\mathbb{P}\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big[\big|g\big(\overline{\rho}^{\mathbf{X}}_{\pi(0,t)}(x)\big)-g\big(\varphi^{\mathbf{X}}_{t0}(x)\big)\big|\Big]>\frac{r}{2}\Big)$. To deal with $(\star):=\mathbb{P}\Big(\Big|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N g\big(\overline{\rho}^{\,i}_{\pi(0,t)}(x)\big)-\mathbb{E}\big[g\big(\overline{\rho}^{\mathbf{X}}_{\pi(0,t)}(x)\big)\big]\Big|>\frac{r}{2}\Big)$, we use the fact that $\overline{\rho}^{\mathbf{X}}_{\pi(0,t)}$ is a locally Lipschitz continuous function of $\mathbf{X}$, with Lipschitz constant no greater than a constant times R on the ball of center* 0 *and radius R, independently of the special partition $\pi(0,t)$ of $[0,t]$. (This constant depends only on M,λ and T.) Write Y for $\overline{\rho}^{\mathbf{X}}_{\pi(0,t)}(x)$. We have for all $0\leqslant\nu\leqslant\alpha$*

$$(\star)\leqslant e^{-\frac{\nu N}{2}r}\Big\{\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{\nu\big(f(Y)-\mathbb{E}[f(Y)]\big)}\Big]\Big\}^N$$

where $F(\nu) := \mathbb{E}\Big[e^{\nu\big(f(Y)-\mathbb{E}[f(Y)]\big)}\Big]$ *is finite on* $[0,\alpha]$, *because Jensen's inequality and the fact that* $\|\mathbf{X}\|$ *has a Gaussian moment imply*

$$F(\nu) \leqslant \mathbb{E}\Big[e^{\nu\big(g(Y)-g(Y')\big)}\Big] \leqslant \mathbb{E}\Big[e^{\alpha \max(\|\mathbf{X}\|,\|\mathbf{X}'\|)\,\|\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}'\|}\Big] < \infty$$

for Y' *independent of* Y, *with the same law as* Y. *As* $\log F$ *is strictly convex,* $\log F(\nu) \leqslant \min\big(c_1\nu^2, \frac{\delta}{\alpha}\nu\big)$, *where* c_1 *is the variance of* $f(Y)$. *It follows that* $(\star) \leqslant \exp\Big(-\nu N\big(\frac{r}{2} - \min(c_1\nu, \frac{\delta}{\alpha})\big)\Big)$, *from which the result follows by optimizing over* $\nu \in [0,\alpha]$. $\triangleright$

Note that Lemaire-Menozzi [17] *and Frikha-Menozzi* [18]*'s results on the Gaussian concentration property of the elementary Euler scheme for some Itô stochastic differential equation suggest that the exponential concentration property of the Milstein type estimator* $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N g\big(\overline{\rho}^{\,i}_{\pi(0,t)}(x)\big)$ *for* $\mathbb{E}\big[g\big(\varphi_{t0}(x)\big)\big]$ *can probably be improved if some additional mild information on the driving rough path is available. Theorem 26 applies to all dynamics driven by any Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths with a Gaussian moment though.*

(2) **Linear growth of the vector fields** V, V_i**.** *Proceeding exactly as in remark 15 (3), there is no difficulty in relaxing the boundedness hypothesis on the vector fields* V, V_i *in theorem 24, adopting definition 16 for the notion of a solution flow.*

(3) **Non-explosion.** *It follows in particular that there is no explosion of the solution flow in the above linear growth setting. This generalizes the results of* [11] *and* [19] *to the case of a dynamics with a drift. Note that these two works deal with paths rather than with flows of maps and that* [19] *works with a more general notion of rough path than the notion adopted here.*

(4) **Full rough differential equation.** *As first noticed by A. Davie* [5] *in a rough paths context, a vector field* W *on* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *naturally lifts to a vector field on the* $[p]$*-step nilpotent Lie group* $G_d^{([p])}\big(\subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[p]}(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes i}\big)$ *setting* $\widetilde{W}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}\,(0\oplus W(a_1)\oplus 0\oplus\cdots\oplus 0)$, *for* $\mathbf{a} = (1\oplus a_1\oplus\cdots\oplus a_{[p]}) \in G_d^{([p])}$. *The vector fields* $\widetilde{V}_i$ *are unbounded* γ*-Lipschitz with at most linear growth if the* V_i *are* γ*-Lipschitz; as they are tangent to* $G_d^{([p])}$, *their flows leave* $G_d^{([p])}$ *invariant. Theorem 24 and remark (2) above apply, providing a flow on* $G_d^{([p])}$. *The map* $\big(\varphi_{s0}(\mathrm{Id})^{-1}\varphi_{t0}(\mathrm{Id})\big)_{0\leqslant s\leqslant T}$ *is then a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path which can be used to construct new dynamics by solving some rough differential equations – see* [4], *Chap. 10.4, for a different approach to that question and more material on it.*

(5) **Infinite dimension.** *All the above results, and their proofs, hold in an infinite dimensional setting where* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *is replaced by any Banach space. The appropriate notion of* α*-Lipschitz vector field, as described in* [1], [2] *or* [3], *needs to be used. Good norms on tensor products also simplify matters when working with the full rough differential equation in a Banach space setting – see the above references. This framework is not sufficient though to deal with rough partial differential equations where the drift* V *of section 2 would be a densely defined (potentially non-linear) unbounded vector field.*

(6) **Time inhomogeneous dynamics.** *The above result have a straightforward generalization for a time dependent drift* $V(s,x)$ *which is a bounded Lipschitz continuous*

function of its two arguments and vector fields $V_i(s,\cdot)$ *which are* γ*-Lipschitz with respect to the space variable and Lipschitz continuous with respect to time. The map* ρ_{ts} *is defined in that case as the time 1 map associated with the ordinary differential equation*

$$\dot{y}_u = (t-s)V(s,y_u) + \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} \Lambda_{ts}^{r,I} V_{[I]}(s,y_u).$$

In particular, inequality (3.7) *holds in that case, with* $V_s(x)$ *and* $V_I(s,x)$ *in place of* $V(x)$ *and* $V_I(x)$*. This framework will be useful in section 7 for the study of some simple mean field stochastic rough differential equation.*

(7) **Backward dynamics.** *Setting* $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{X}}_t = \mathbf{X}_{T-t}$ *defines a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path; as noticed in section 3.1, the inverse of the solution flow* φ *to the rough differential equation* $d\varphi = Vdt + Fd\mathbf{X}$ *is the solution flow to the rough differential equation* $d\psi = -Vdt + Fd\overleftarrow{\mathbf{X}}$*.*

(8) **Flows driven by (non-weak geometric) Hölder p-rough paths.** *Note that the only place where we have used the fact that* $\mathbf{X}$ *takes values in the free nilpotent Lie group* $G_\ell^{[p]}$ *is in writing its logarithm as a sum of terms involving only the brackets of the basis vectors* $e_1,\dots,e_\ell$*. The above reasonnings are totally independent of that fact. Recall all elements of* $T_\ell^{[p],1}$ *are invertible. We define a* ***Hölder p-rough path*** *as a* $T_\ell^{[p],1}$*-valued path* $\mathbf{X}$ *such that the Hölder type conditions* (3.3) *holds. One can think of Brownian motion, together with its* Ito *first iterated integral, as a typical (non-weak geometric) p-rough path, for* $2<p<3$*. Set* $\log \mathbf{X}_{ts} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_{ts} = \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} \Lambda_{ts}^{r,I} e_I$*, and define in that case* ρ_{ts} *as the time one map associated with the ordinary differential equation*

$$\dot{y}_u = (t-s)V(y_u) + \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} \Lambda_{ts}^{r,I} V_I(y_u).$$

The above proofs show that theorem 24 holds in that case as well, generalizing Lejay's results in [11]*, who only deals with the case* $2<p<3$*, without a drift. The point of working with weak geometric rough paths is the fact that only brackets of vector fields appear in the definition of* ρ_{ts}*. These brackets are intrinsically defined vector fields which do not depend on any choice of a coordinate system, so are well-defined on a manifold. This is not the case of the vector fields* V_I *which are needed in the above definition of* ρ_{ts} *and are meaningless in a manifold setting. This fact justifies calling* $G_\ell^{([p])}$*-valued rough paths (weak) geometric rough paths. See the remark closing section 5 for a similar situation, in the setting of branched rough paths.*

4. TAYLOR EXPANSION OF SOLUTION FLOWS TO ROUGH DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

It is a nice feature of our approach that Taylor expansion of solution flows to rough differential equations come almost for free. As in the classical case, the smoother the vector fields V_i are, the better we can describe the solution flow to the driftless equation $d\varphi = Fd\mathbf{X}$. This takes the form of a refined version of the inequality $\|\varphi_{ts} - \rho_{ts}\|_\infty \leqslant c\,|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$.

Let $2 < p$ and an integer $N \geqslant [p]+1$ be given. Lyons devised in his original theory of rough paths [1] a fundamental mechanism which enables in particular to extend uniquely any Hölder weak geometric p-rough path to a very special $G_\ell^{(N)}$-valued map and provides the missing higher order iterated integrals needed to write a Taylor expansion of the solution flow to the equation $d\varphi = F d\mathbf{X}$. We state it here under the form we need – see [7] for a simple proof of a refined version of Lyons' extension theorem.

THEOREM **27** (Lyons' extension theorem)**.** *Let $2 < p$ be given and $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. Let $N \geqslant [p]+1$ be an integer. Then there exists a unique ($G_\ell^{(N)}$-valued) Hölder weak geometric N-rough path path $\mathbf{Y}$ extending $\mathbf{X}$ in the sense that $\pi_{[p]N}\mathbf{Y}_{ts} = \mathbf{X}_{ts}$, for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$. The map $\mathbf{Y}$ is called the lift of $\mathbf{X}$ to $G_\ell^{(N)}$; it is a continuous function of $\mathbf{X}$.*

Let $2 < p$, an integer $N \geqslant [p]+1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ be given; set $\gamma = N + \alpha$. Suppose that the vector fields V_i are γ-Lipschitz. Given a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path $\mathbf{X}$, let $\mathbf{Y}$ its lift of $\mathbf{X}$ to $G_\ell^{(N)}$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda} = 0 \oplus \Lambda^1 \oplus \Lambda^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda^N$ be the logarithm of its lift, in the sense that $\exp \mathbf{\Lambda}_{ts} = \mathbf{Y}_{ts}$, for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$.

Denote by ρ_{ts}^N the diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which associates to any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the value at time 1 of the well-defined and unique solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{du} y_u = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{I \in [\![1,\ell]\!]^r} \Lambda_{ts}^{r,I} V_{[I]}(y_u), \quad 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1,$$

with $y_0 = x$. The proof of proposition 19 shows that the following awaited estimate holds.

PROPOSITION **28.** *Let $2 < p$ and $[p]+1 \leqslant N < N+\alpha < N+1$ be given, with N integer. Let $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ be $(N+\alpha)$-Lipschitz vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathbf{X} = 1 \oplus X^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X^{[p]}$ be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path with lift $\mathbf{Y}$ to $G_\ell^{(N)}$. Then there exists a positive constant c, depending only on M, λ, T and $\|\mathbf{X}\|$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$ such that*

$$\left\| f \circ \rho_{ts}^N - \Big\{ f + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{I \in [\![1,\ell]\!]^r} Y_{ts}^{r,I} V_I f \Big\} \right\|_\infty \leqslant c\, |t-s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p}} \tag{4.1}$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$. The maps ρ_{ts}^N depend continuously on $\big((s,t), \mathbf{X}\big)$ in uniform topology.

As in section 3.2, this fundamental estimate implies a well-posedness result.

THEOREM **29.** *The following holds under the hypotheses of proposition 28.*

- *There exists a unique flow $(\varphi_{ts}^N)_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for which there are two positive constants δ and c such that*

$$\left\| \varphi_{ts}^N - \rho_{ts}^N \right\|_\infty \leqslant c\, |t-s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p}} \tag{4.2}$$

  holds for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ with $t - s \leqslant \delta$.
- *The flow takes its values in the space of uniformly Lipschitz continuous homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and depends continuously on $\big((s,t), \mathbf{X}\big)$.*

Let now $\gamma \in \big(p, [p]+1\big)$. Since $\left\| \rho_{ts}^N - \rho_{ts} \right\|_\infty \leqslant c|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$, the identity

$$\left\| \varphi_{ts}^N - \rho_{ts} \right\|_\infty \leqslant c|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$$

holds for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ sufficiently close, so φ^N is a solution flow to the rough differential equation $d\varphi = F d\mathbf{X}$, where the V_i are considered as γ-Lipschitz vector fields.

As it has a unique solution by theorem 24, it needs to coincide with the solution flow φ constructed in the proof of theorem 24.

COROLLARY **30** (Euler estimates/Taylor expansion)**.** *Let* $2 < p$, *an integer* $[p] + 1 \leqslant N$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *be given. Suppose the vector fields* $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ *are* $(N+\alpha)$*-Lipschitz. Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *be a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path. Denote by* φ *the unique solution flow to the driftless rough differential equation* $d\varphi = F d\mathbf{X}$. *Let* $\mathbf{Y}$ *be the lift of* $\mathbf{X}$ *to* $G_\ell^{(N)}$. *Then there exists two positive constants* δ *and* c *such that*

$$\left\|\varphi_{ts} - \rho_{ts}^N\right\|_\infty \leqslant c\,|t-s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p}}$$

holds for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ *with* $t - s \leqslant \delta$. *We have in particular,*

$$\left\|\rho_{ts} - \Big\{\mathrm{Id} + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{I \in [\![1,\ell]\!]^r} Y_{ts}^{r,I} V_I\Big\}\right\|_\infty \leqslant c\,|t-s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p}} \tag{4.3}$$

for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ *with* $t - s \leqslant \delta$.

REMARKS **31.** (1) *In a probabilistic context where* $\mathbf{X}$ *is the random realization of the Brownian rough path, the* $Y_{ts}^{k,I}$ *coincide almost-surely with the iterated Stratonovich integrals* $\int_0^t \circ dB_{s_1}^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \circ dB_{s_k}^{i_k}$, *for* $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, *and* (4.3) *is a pathwise version of Azencott's celebrated stochastic Taylor formula – see for instance* [20], [21] *and* [22].

(2) *Friz and Victoir* [12] *proved similar estimates by a clever use of geodesic approximation in the free nilpotent Lie group* $G_\ell^{(N)}$.

(3) *Most results of Baudoin from* [23] *and* [24] *on the geometry of hypoelliptic diffusions can be recovered from estimate* (4.3) *without resorting to Chen-Strichartz' formula, as done in these works.*

(4) *To deal with rough differential equation with a drift we would need to introduce the cross iterated integrals between* t *and* $\mathbf{X}$, *on which subject we have nothing new to add; see for instance* [3], *Chap. 3.3.3, for their definition and construction. It is straightforward to adapt the above reasoning to that setting.*

5. FLOWS DRIVEN BY BRANCHED ROUGH PATHS

Let us say that a family $(\nu_{ts})_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T}$ of maps is an *approximate flow* if the inequality

$$\left\|\nu_{tu} \circ \nu_{us} - \nu_{ts}\right\|_\infty \leqslant c\,|t-s|^\alpha$$

holds for some positive constants c and $\alpha > 1$, and all $s \leqslant u \leqslant t$ sufficiently close. It should be clear to the reader by now that the essential ingredient in our construction recipe of a flow on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is an approximate flow on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ obtained as the time 1 map associated to an ordinary differential equation, as emphasized in theorem 2. We have seen above that such approximate flows can be constructed from Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths. The appearance in that context of the N-step nilpotent Lie group comes from the fact that the family of iterated integrals of a smooth path need to satisfy the usual rules of calculus [13], which lead in a stochastic setting to Stratonovich-like dynamics. It is however clear that a wealth of situations cannot be modelled by such dynamical schemes which somehow anticipate over the future, and that Ito-like dynamics are sometimes more appropriate. It is possible to extend the machinery of flows driven by rough paths, as descrived above, to this non-geometrical setting by using the notion of *branched rough paths* introduced by

Gubinelli in [25]. This section is devoted to explaining how this can be done in a simple way.

Consider an ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{x}_r = V_i(x_r)\dot{h}^i_r$$

controlled by some smooth $\mathbb{R}^\ell$-valued path h. Given any tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in [\![1, \ell]\!]^k$, write $H^{k,I}_{ts}$ for $\int_{s\leqslant s_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant s_k\leqslant t} dh^{i_1}_{s_1}\dots dh^{i_k}_{s_k}$. The point of departure towards branched rough paths is the rewriting of Taylor formula

$$x_t = x_s + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^k} H^{k,I}_{ts} V_I(x_s) + O\big(|t-s|^{N+1}\big)$$

under the form

$$x_t = x_s + \sum_{\tau} H^{\tau}_{ts} V_\tau(x_s) + O\big(|t-s|^{N+1}\big), \tag{5.1}$$

where all the multiple derivatives $V_I(x) = V_{i_1}\big(V_{i_2}\big(\dots V_{i_{k-1}}V_{i_k}\big)\dots\big)(x)$ have been expanded entirely so as to write each of them as a sum of terms of the form $\sum_a D^a_x V_{i_k}(\dots)$, where $D^aV_{i_k}$ stands for the a^{th} derivative of V_{i_k}. We have for instance $V_1\big(V_2(V_3)\big)(x) = (D_xV_3)\big((V_1V_2)(x)\big) + (D^2_xV_3)\big(V_1(x), V_2(x)\big)$. The best way to represent the resulting sum is to index the sum by labelled rooted trees, as first discovered by Cayley, which is what the above sum over τ is. The coefficients H^τ_{ts} are then linear combinations of the initial coefficients $H^{k,I}_{ts}$. As the family of iterated integrals of h, the family $(H^\tau)_\tau$ of these coefficients happens to take values in a very special kind of algebraic structure: the dual of a Hopf algebra. Section 5.1 provides an elementary presentation of this structure. Starting directly from such objects, subject to some size requirements similar to those satisfied by a Hölder weak geometric p-rough path, defines a branched rough path. We shall see in section 5.2 that it is easy to construct an approximate flow from a branched path and vector fields, and define as above a notion of solution flow to a differential equation driven by a branched rough path.

5.1. **Branched rough paths.** Let $\mathcal{T}$ stand for the set of (possibly empty, unordered) rooted labelled trees, with labels in $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Given τ_1 and τ_2 in $\mathcal{T}$ and $a \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, denote by $[\tau_1\tau_2]_a$ the element of $\mathcal{T}$ obtained by attaching the two trees τ_1 and τ_2 to a new root with label a. Any element of $\mathcal{T}$ can be constructed in this way starting from the empty tree. We denote by $|\tau|$ the number of vertices of a tree τ and define its symmetry factor $\sigma(\tau)$ recursively

$$\sigma\big([\tau_1^{n_1}\dots\tau_k^{n_k}]_a\big) = n_1!\dots n_k!\,\sigma(\tau_1)^{n_1}\dots\sigma(\tau_k)^{n_k},$$

where $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$ are distinct trees with respective multiplicities $n_1, \dots, n_k$. Set $X^{\emptyset}_{ts} = 1$ and $V_\emptyset = 0$. and define recursively, for $a \in [\![1, \ell]\!]$ and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ in $\mathcal{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &X^{\bullet_a}_{ts} = h^a_t - h^a_s, \quad X^{[\tau_1\dots\tau_n]_a}_{ts} = \int_s^t \prod_{i=1}^{n} X^{\tau_i}_{us}\,dh^a_u, \\ &V_{\bullet_a} = V_a, \quad V_{[\tau_1\dots\tau_n]_a} = \frac{1}{\sigma\big([\tau_1\dots\tau_n]_a\big)}\big(D^nV_a\big)(V_{\tau_1}, \dots, V_{\tau_n}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In these terms, (5.1) rewrites

$$x_t = x_s + \sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T},\,|\tau|\leqslant N} X^\tau_{ts} V_\tau(x_s) + O\big(|t-s|^{N+1}\big).$$

Formal series of the form

$$B(\mathbf{X}, y) = X^{\emptyset} y + \sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}} X^\tau V_\tau(y),$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and real valued coefficients X^τ, are called **B-series**, after Butcher [26] who first considered them implicitly in his work on order conditions for Runge-Kutta methods. Their systematic study started with the work of E. Hairer and Wanner [27], who established a fundamental result about composition of B-series.

Theorem **32** (E. Hairer-Wanner). *Let* $\mathbf{X}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y}$ *be two real valued maps on* $\mathcal{T}$*, with* $\mathbf{X}^{\emptyset} = 1$. *Then* $B\big(\mathbf{Y}, B(\mathbf{X}, y)\big)$ *is again a B-series, say* $B(\mathbf{Y}\star\mathbf{X}, y)$*, where* $\mathbf{Y}\star\mathbf{X}$ *is explicitly computable in terms of* $\mathbf{X}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y}$.

This map $(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}) \mapsto \mathbf{Y}\star\mathbf{X}$ is the reason for the appearance in this context of the above mentionned *Hopf algebra* structure. We follow the forthcoming enlighting work [28] to give a short description of this algebra and define branched rough paths.

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \cdot)$ stand for the set of commuting real-valued polynomials with indeterminates the elements of $\mathcal{T}$ and polynomial multiplication operation; equip the tensor product $\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ with the induced product $(a\otimes b)(c\otimes d) = (ac)\otimes(bd)$. We define a coproduct Δ on $\mathcal{H}$ as follows. Given a labelled rooted tree τ, denote by $\mathrm{Sub}(\tau)$ the set of subtrees of τ with the same root as τ. Given such a subtree s, we obtain a collection $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ of labelled rooted trees by removing s and all the adjacent edges to s from τ. Write $\tau\backslash\mathsf{s}$ for the monomial $\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$. One defines $\Delta : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ by the formula

$$\Delta\tau = \sum_{\mathsf{s}\in\mathrm{Sub}(\tau)} (\tau\backslash\mathsf{s}) \otimes \mathsf{s},$$

and extend it to $\mathcal{H}$ by linearity and by requiring that $\Delta(\tau_1 \dots \tau_n) = \Delta(\tau_1)\dots\Delta(\tau_n)$. This coproduct is coassociative ($(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{Id})\Delta = (\mathrm{Id}\otimes\Delta)\Delta$) as should be in any Hopf algebra. Given a real-valued map $\mathbf{Y}$ on $\mathcal{T}$, we extend it into an element of the dual space to $\mathcal{H}$ setting $Y^{\tau_1\dots\tau_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n Y^\tau_i$, for a monomial $\tau_1 \dots \tau_n$, and by linearity. The map $(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}) \mapsto \mathbf{Y}\star\mathbf{X}$ in theorem 32 is given by

$$(\mathbf{Y}\star\mathbf{X})^\tau = \sum_{\mathsf{s}\in\mathrm{Sub}(\tau)} Y^{\tau\backslash\mathsf{s}} X^{\mathsf{s}} = (\mathbf{Y}\otimes\mathbf{X})(\Delta\tau),$$

for a labelled rooted tree τ. The third ingredient needed to define the Hopf algebra structure of $\mathcal{H}$ is an antipode $\mathfrak{S}$, that is a map $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ inverting Δ in the sense that $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathfrak{S})\Delta = \mathrm{M}(\mathfrak{S}\otimes\mathrm{Id})\Delta = \mathrm{Id}$, where M stands for the multiplication map $\mathrm{M}(a\otimes b) = ab \in \mathcal{H}$. An explicit formula for $\mathfrak{S}$ was first obtained in [29]; see [30] for a simple and enlighting proof.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}^*$ the dual space of $\mathcal{H}$ and by $\theta = \tau_1 \dots \tau_n$, with $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}$, a generic element of the canonical basis of $\mathcal{H}$. Write (θ^*) for the dual canonical basis and use bold letters to denote generic elements of $\mathcal{H}^*$; we use the notation $(\mathbf{a}, \theta)$ or $\mathbf{a}^\theta$ for the duality relation between $\mathcal{H}^*$ and $\mathcal{H}$. With these notations, for an element $\mathbf{a}$ of $\mathcal{H}^*$ of the special form

$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{T}} a^{\tau'} (\tau')^*$, we have for instance

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{a} &= \sum_{\theta} (\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a})(\Delta\theta)\, \theta^* = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} (\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a})(\Delta\tau)\, \tau^* \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \Big(\sum a^{\tau_1} a^{\tau_2} \Big)\, \tau^*,\end{aligned}$$

where the inside sum is over the set of subtrees τ_1 of τ such that $\tau \backslash \tau_1$ is a subtree τ_2 of τ. More generally, we have for such an $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}^*$

$$\mathbf{a}^{\star n} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \Big(\sum a^{\tau_1} \cdots a^{\tau_n} \Big) \tau^*, \tag{5.3}$$

where the inside sum is over the set of disjoint rooted subtrees $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ of τ, with respective roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that α_{i+1} is a descendant of α_i in τ, for all $i = 1..n-1$, and any node of τ is in one of the subtrees τ_i.

We define a Lie bracket on $\mathcal{H}^*$ setting $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]_\star = \mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \star \mathbf{a}$, for which the real vector space spanned by the dual trees is a Lie algebra, say $\mathfrak{g}$. The exponential map $\exp_\star : \mathcal{H}^* \to \mathcal{H}^*$ and the logarithm map $\log_\star : \mathcal{H}^* \to \mathcal{H}^*$ are defined by the usual series

$$\exp_\star(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \frac{\mathbf{a}^{\star n}}{n!}, \quad \log_\star(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{a})^{\star n}}{n},$$

with the convention $\mathbf{a}^{\star 0} = \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denote the dual of the empty tree. Formula (5.3) justifies the convergence of the these sums, in the sense that $\big(\exp_\star(\mathbf{a}), \theta\big)$ and $\big(\log_\star(\mathbf{a}), \theta\big)$ are actually finite sums for any monomial θ.

It is clear from the above formula for $\mathbf{a}^{\star n}$ that we have for a nonempty tree τ

$$\big(\exp_\star(\mathbf{a}), \tau\big) = \sum_{n=1}^{|\tau|} \frac{1}{n!} \sum a^{\tau_1} \cdots a^{\tau_n}, \tag{5.4}$$

with the same inside sum as in (5.3). Setting $\mathcal{H}_0^* = \big\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}^* \,;\, (\mathbf{a}, 1) = 0\big\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^* = \big\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}^* \,;\, (\mathbf{a}, 1) = 1\big\}$, one can see that $\exp_\star : \mathcal{H}_0^* \to \mathcal{H}_1^*$ and $\log_\star : \mathcal{H}_1^* \to \mathcal{H}_0^*$ are reciprocal diffeomorphisms; see for instance [31]. As a consequence, one can prove as in the tensor product algebra case, [32], theorem 3.2, the following result.

Theorem **33.** *The pair* $\big(\exp_\star(\mathfrak{g}), \star\big)$ *is a group.*

Write $\mathcal{H}^*_{(k)}$ for the vector space spanned by the monomials $(\tau_1 \dots \tau_n)^\star$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\tau_i| = k$, and denote by π_N the natural projection from $\mathcal{H}^*$ to the quotient space $\mathcal{H}^* \backslash \bigoplus_{k \geqslant N+1} \mathcal{H}^*_{(k)}$. The image of $\exp_\star(\mathfrak{g})$ by π_N is then diffeomorphic to the real vector space $\langle \mathcal{T}_N^* \rangle$ spanned by $\pi_N(\mathcal{T}^*)$; it is a Lie group when equipped with the operation $\pi_N \circ \star$, which we still denote by $\star$. Write $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N)}$ for that Lie group; it plays for branched rough paths the role that the N-step nilpotent Lie group plays for Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths. Denote by $\bullet_a^*$ the element of the dual canonical basis dual to $\bullet_a$. It is elementary to check that the $\mathcal{H}^*$-valued function $\mathfrak{X}_{ts} = (X_{ts}^\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}, |\tau| \leqslant N}$ associated with a smooth $\mathbb{R}^\ell$-valued path h, as defined in (5.2), considered as a function of t, satisfies in $\pi_N(\mathcal{H}^*)$ the ordinary differential equation

$$d\mathfrak{X}_{ts} = \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{X}_{ts} \star \big(\bullet_a^* \, dh_t^a \big),$$

which implies that $\mathfrak{X}_{ts}$ is an element of $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N)}$ for all $t \geqslant s$. We follow Gubinelli [25], and [28], in defining a branched rough path as follows.

Definition **34.** *Let $2 < p$. A* **branched p-rough path on** $[0,T]$ *is a $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{([p])}$-valued path $\mathbf{X}$ such that*

$$\sup_{0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T} \frac{|X_{ts}^\theta|}{|t-s|^{\frac{|\theta|}{p}}} < \infty,$$

for all monomials $\theta = \tau_1 \dots \tau_k$ with $|\theta| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\tau_i| \leqslant [p]$, where we set $\mathbf{X}_{ts} = \mathbf{X}_s^{-1} \star \mathbf{X}_t$, and $\mathbf{X}_s^{-1}$ stands for the inverse of $\mathbf{X}_s$ in the group $\big(\mathcal{G}_\ell^{([p])}, \star\big)$.

We define the norm of $\mathbf{X}$ to be

$$\|\mathbf{X}\| = \max_{\theta\,;\,|\theta|\leqslant[p]} \sup_{0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T} \frac{|X_{ts}^\theta|}{|t-s|^{\frac{|\theta|}{p}}}, \tag{5.5}$$

where θ runs over the set of elements of the canonical basis of $\mathcal{H}$; we define a distance $d(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}) = \|\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{Y}\|$ on the set of branched p-rough path.

It is elementary to check that the inverse $\mathbf{a}^{-1}$ of any element $\mathbf{a}$ of $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N)}$ is given by the formula $\mathbf{a}^{-1} = \mathfrak{S}^*\mathbf{a}$, where $\mathfrak{S}^*$ is the dual map in $\mathcal{H}^*$ of the antipode map in $\mathcal{H}$. The framework of branched rough paths extends the framework of Hölder weak geometric rough paths, in so far as any weak geometric rough path can be identified with a branched rough path, as proved by M. Hairer and Kelly in [28]. The converse also holds true in some sense, so the two notions appear equivalent for practical purposes.

5.2. **Flows driven by branched rough paths.** It is straighforward to use the machinery of approximate flows, as summed up in theorem 2, to produce a flow from a branched rough path and some vector fields. Let then $2 < p < \gamma < [p]+1$ be given, together with some γ-Lipschitz vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, a bounded Lipschitz continuous vector field V on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and a branched rough path $\mathbf{X}$. Let $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ stand for its logarithm, with values in $\langle \mathcal{T}_N^* \rangle$,

$$\exp_\star\left(\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_N} \Lambda_{ts}^\tau \tau^*\right) = \sum_\theta X_{ts}^\theta \theta^* = \mathbf{X}_{ts}.$$

Let ρ_{ts} be the well-defined time 1 map associated with the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{y}_u = (t-s)V(y_u) + \sum_{|\tau|\leqslant[p]} \Lambda_{ts}^\tau V_\tau(y_u), \tag{5.6}$$

where the vector fields V_τ are defined in (5.2). The following analogue of proposition 19 holds true under the above hypotheses on the vector fields $V, V_1, \dots, V_\ell$. Recall M is an upper bound for the $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz norm of the γ-Lipschitz vector fields V_i, and λ is an upper bound for the Lipschitz constant of V.

Proposition **35.** *There exists a constant c, depending only on the data of the problem, such that*

$$\Big\| f\circ\rho_{ts} - \Big\{ f + (t-s)(Vf) + \sum_{|\tau|\leqslant[p]} X_{ts}^\tau (V_\tau f) \Big\} \Big\|_\infty \leqslant c\big(2 + \|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big) \|f\|_\gamma |t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$$

holds for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$.

Proof – The proof of this result is identical to the proof of proposition 19, and starts with the identity

$$\begin{aligned} f\big(\rho_{ts}(x)\big) &= f(x) + (t-s)(Vf)(x) + O_c\big(|t-s|^\gamma\big) + \sum_{|\tau_1|\leqslant[p]} \Lambda_{ts}^{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \big(V_{\tau_1}f\big)(y_{s_1})ds_1 \\ &= f(x) + (t-s)(Vf)(x) + O_c\big(|t-s|^\gamma\big) + \sum_{|\tau_1|\leqslant[p]} \Lambda_{ts}^{\tau_1} \big(V_{\tau_1}f\big)(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|\leqslant[p]} \Lambda_{ts}^{\tau_1}\Lambda_{ts}^{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \big(V_{\tau_1}V_{\tau_1}f\big)(y_{s_2})\,ds_2ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\tau_2 = [\tau_2^1 \cdots \tau_2^n]_a$, we have

$$V_{\tau_1}V_{\tau_2} = \big(D^{n+1}V_a\big)\big(V_{\tau_1}, V_{\tau_2^1}, \dots, V_{\tau_2^n}\big) + \sum_{j=1}^n \big(D^nV_a\big)\big(V_{\tau_2^1}, \dots, V_{\tau_1}V_{\tau_2^j}, \dots, V_{\tau_2^n}\big). \tag{5.7}$$

The result follows by induction from that identity and identity (5.4) satisfied by any element of the group $\mathcal{G}_\ell^{([p])}$. ▷

As in section 3.2, the above result still holds true with any solution of equation (5.6) if the vector fields V_i are only supposed to the $(\gamma-1)$-Lispchitz and the vector field V is supposed to be ρ-Lipschitz, for some $0<\rho<1$. The crucial step in constructing a flow from the family of maps ρ_{ts} is the following counterpart of E.Hairer and Wanner theorem on B-series.

Proposition **36.** *There exists a constant c depending only on the data of the problem such that*

$$\big\|\rho_{tu}\circ\rho_{us} - \rho_{ts}\big\|_\infty \leqslant c\big(2+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)|t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}$$

holds for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant u\leqslant t\leqslant T$.

Proof – It is a direct consequence of proposition 35. Indeed, as we have for any $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$

$$V_\tau(\rho_{us}(x)) = V_\tau(x) + (u-s)(VV_\tau)(x) + \sum_{|\tau'|\leqslant[p]} X_{us}^{\tau'}(V_{\tau'}V_\tau)(x) + O_C\big(|u-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big)$$

with $C = c\big(2+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{tu}\big(\rho_{us}(x)\big) = x + (t-s)V(x) &+ \sum_{|\tau|\leqslant[p]} X_{us}^\tau V_\tau(x) + \sum_{|\tau'|\leqslant[p]} X_{tu}^{\tau'}V_{\tau'}(x) \\ &+ \sum_{|\tau|+|\tau'|\leqslant[p]} X_{tu}^\tau X_{us}^{\tau'}(V_{\tau'}V_\tau)(x) + O_C\big(|t-r|^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows in a straightforward way from proposition 35 and identity (5.7), since $\mathbf{X}_{us}\star\mathbf{X}_{tu} = \mathbf{X}_{ts}$. ▷

Starting from that point, the construction of a flow from the approximate flow $(\rho_{ts})_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ follows line by line the construction detailed in sections 2 and 3 for flows driven by Hölder weak geometretric p-rough paths. We adopt definition 23 for the solution flow of a rough differential equation

$$d\varphi = Vdt + Fd\mathbf{X} \tag{5.8}$$

driven by a branched rough path $\mathbf{X}$.

THEOREM **37.** *Assume that V is $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz and the V_i are γ-Lipschitz. Then, the rough differential equation* (5.8) *has a unique solution flow; it takes values in the space of uniformly Lipschitz continuous homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and depends continuously on $\mathbf{X}$.*

All the remarks of section 3 hold in that setting, except the remark about the full rough differential equation. The analogue of the latter would lead us to talk about controlled rough paths [33], about which we have nothing new to add. The results of section 4 on Taylor expansion of solution flows also hold in that setting, as an analogue of Lyons' extension theorem holds for branched rough paths [25]. Such expansion results seem to be new in that setting.

Although the framework of branched rough paths extends the framework of weak geometric rough paths, the construction of a flow driven by a branched rough path is intrinsically linked with a choice of coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d$, in so far as the definition of the vector fields V_τ depends on that choice through the derivatives D^nV_a, for $n \geqslant 1$. So it is not possible to define flows driven by a branched rough path on a manifold without the introduction of another ingredient. A linear connection on the tangent space can play that role, and there is no difficulty in extending the above results to that setting if the manifold is compact. On the other hand, the definition of a solution flow to a rough differential equation driven by a weak geometric rough path extends readily to a manifold setting as it only involves the basic vector fields V_i and their commutators, which are intrinsically defined vector fields.

6. PATHS DRIVEN BY ROUGH PATHS

The results of the preceding sections provide an easy proof of existence and well-posedness for point dynamics driven by a Hölder weak geometric or branched p-rough path. We adopt the notations of the preceeding sections.

DEFINITION **38.** *Let $2 < p < \gamma \leqslant [p]+1$ be given. Let $V_1, \dots, V_\ell$ be $\mathcal{C}^2$-Lipschitz vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hölder weak geometric or branched p-rough path on the time interval $[0,T]$. Let V be a bounded Lipschitz continuous vector field. A* ***path*** *$(z_s\,;\,0 \leqslant s \leqslant T)$ is said to* ***solve the rough differential equation***

$$dz = V\,dt + F\,d\mathbf{X} \tag{6.1}$$

if there exists a constant $a > 1$ independent of $\mathbf{X}$, and two possibly $\mathbf{X}$-dependent positive constants δ and c, such that

$$\big|z_t - \rho_{ts}(z_s)\big| \leqslant c\,|t-s|^a \tag{6.2}$$

holds for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ with $t-s \leqslant \delta$.

For $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz vector fields V_i and a ρ-Lipschitz vector field V, the differential equations (3.6) and (5.6) are not well-posed; in that case, $\rho_{ts}(x)$ stands for the time 1 value of an arbitrarily chosen solution started from x. Note that a solution path is necessarily continuous by (6.2). The next existence/well-posedness is usually called **Lyons' universal limit theorem** [1].

THEOREM **39** (Existence/well-posedness)**.**

- **a)** *Suppose the vector fields V_i are $(\gamma-1)$-Lipschitz and the vector field V is ρ-Lipschitz, for some $0 < \rho < 1$. Then the rough differential equation* (6.1) *has a solution path.*
- **b)** *This solution path is unique if the V_i are γ-Lipschitz and V is $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz; it is in that case a continuous function of $\mathbf{X}$.*

We refer in the proof to propositions concerning Hölder weak geometrc p-rough paths; using their analogue for branched rough paths proves the result in that case. Set $a = \min\big(1+\frac{\rho}{p}, \frac{\gamma}{p}\big)$.

Proof – **a) Existence.** Given ϵ and $t\in[0,T]$, with $k\epsilon \leqslant t < (k+1)\epsilon$, set

$$z^\epsilon_t = \big(\rho_{t\,k\epsilon}\circ\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}\circ\cdots\circ\rho_{\epsilon\,0}\big)(z_0).$$

We prove that the paths $(z^\epsilon_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ have a uniformly converging subsequence by showing that they form an equicontinuous family.

First, for $k\epsilon\leqslant s<t\leqslant(k+1)\epsilon$, it is elementary to see that $z^\epsilon_t - z^\epsilon_s = O_c\big\{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}\big\}$, for some constant c independent of ϵ. Now, given $0\leqslant s<t\leqslant T$, with $k\epsilon\leqslant s<(k+1)\epsilon$, $\ell\epsilon\leqslant t<(\ell+1)\epsilon$, with $k+1\leqslant\ell$, one has

$$z^\epsilon_t = \rho_{t\,\ell\epsilon}\circ\Big(\bigcirc_{n=k+1}^{\ell-1}\rho_{(n+1)\epsilon\,n\epsilon}\Big)\circ\rho_{(k+1)\epsilon\,s}(z^\epsilon_s).$$

As every partition of any interval into sub-intervals of equal length is of special type $\frac{1}{3}$, one has by proposition 22

$$\bigcirc_{n=k+1}^{\ell-1}\rho_{(n+1)\epsilon\,n\epsilon} = \rho_{\ell\epsilon\,(k+1)\epsilon} + O_L\big(|(k-\ell)\epsilon|^a\big)$$

provided $t-s\leqslant\delta$. Since the maps ρ_{ab} are uniformly Lipschitz continuous, proposition 21 gives

$$z^\epsilon_t = \rho_{ts}(z^\epsilon_s) + O_{L+c}\big(|t-s|^a\big).$$

The equicontinuity of the family of paths $(z^\epsilon_\bullet)_{0<\epsilon\leqslant1}$ follows from the above inequality and the fact that $\big\|\rho_{ts}-\mathrm{Id}\big\|_\infty\leqslant c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We see that any limit path satisfies (6.2) for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant t\leqslant T$ with $t-s\leqslant\delta$ by sending ϵ to 0.

b) Uniqueness. To prove uniqueness of the solution when the V_i are γ-Lipschitz and V is $(1+\rho)$-Lipschitz, denote by $z_\bullet$ any solution and remark that since it satisfies (6.2) we have for any $k\geqslant1$

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{k\epsilon}-z^\epsilon_{k\epsilon} &= \rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}\big(z_{(k-1)\epsilon}\big)+O_c\big(\epsilon^a\big)-\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}\big(z^\epsilon_{(k-1)\epsilon}\big)\\
&= \rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}\Big(\rho_{(k-1)\epsilon\,(k-2)\epsilon}\big(z_{(k-2)\epsilon}\big)+O_c\big(\epsilon^a\big)\Big)+O_c\big(\epsilon^a\big)-\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}\big(z^\epsilon_{(k-1)\epsilon}\big)\\
&= \big(\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}\circ\rho_{(k-1)\epsilon\,(k-2)\epsilon}\big)\big(z_{(k-2)\epsilon}\big)+O_c\big(\epsilon^a\big)+O_c\big(\epsilon^a\big)-\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}\big(z^\epsilon_{(k-1)\epsilon}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

as the $\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)\epsilon}$ are Lipschitz continuous, uniformly with respect to k and $\epsilon\leqslant1$. As all the $\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)}\circ\cdots\circ\rho_{\ell\epsilon\,(\ell-1)\epsilon}$ are Lipschitz continuous, with a *uniform* Lipschitz constant for any $k\leqslant\ell$ and $\epsilon\leqslant1$, by corollary 22 (for which we need the V_i to be γ-Lipschitz), a basic induction gives

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{k\epsilon}-z^\epsilon_{k\epsilon} &= \big(\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)}\circ\cdots\circ\rho_{\epsilon\,0}\big)(z_0)+O_c\Big(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Big)\,O_c\big(\epsilon^a\big)-\big(\rho_{k\epsilon\,(k-1)}\circ\cdots\circ\rho_{\epsilon\,0}\big)(z_0)\\
&= O_c\Big(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Big)\,O_c\big(\epsilon^a\big)=o_\epsilon(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude by sending ϵ to 0 along a subsequence for which $z^\epsilon_\bullet$ converges uniformly. $\rhd$

REMARKS **40.** (1) *The above notion of solution has a natural localized version. Given an open set* U*, a* U*-valued path* $(z_s)_{0\leqslant s<\zeta}$ *with* $z_0 \in U$ *fixed and* $\zeta \leqslant T$*, is said to solve the rough differential equation* (6.1) *if there exists two positive constants* δ *and* c *depending on* U *such that* (6.2) *holds for all* $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t < \zeta$ *with* $t - s \leqslant \delta$*. The path* z *is called a* **local solution** *of* (6.1)*. A solution* $(z_s)_{0\leqslant s<\zeta}$ *is said to be* **maximal** *if there is no other solution* $(\overline{z}_s)_{0\leqslant s<\overline{\zeta}}$ *with* $\overline{\zeta} > \zeta$ *coinciding with* z *on* $[0,\zeta]$*. The rough differential equation* (6.1) *is said to have a* **solution** *if it has a maximal local solution for all bounded open sets. Replacing the boundedness hypothesis on* V *and the* V_i *by local boundedness,* (6.1) *has a maximal solution path defined on some time interval* $[0,\zeta)$ *if the vector fields* V_i *are* $(\gamma-1)$*-Lipschitz, but potentially unbounded; this solution path is unique if the* V_i *are* γ*-Lipschitz, but potentially unbounded. By points (4) and (5) in remark 25, there is no explosion* ($\zeta = T$) *if the driving vector fields* V, V_i *have at most linear growth. See* [11] *and* [19] *for different proofs of that fact under slightly different hypotheses.*

(2) *As in the preceeding section, the results of theorem 39 hold in an infinite dimensional setting, provided good tensor norms are used. It also holds in the Hölder weak geometric case for the full rough differential equation.*

(3) **Time reversal.** *Suppose the vector fields* V_i *are (potentially unbounded)* γ*-Lipschitz. We see from remark 25 that the time reversed solution path* $(z_{T-t})_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ *is the solution of the rough differential equation* $dz' = -V dt + f\overleftarrow{\mathbf{X}}$*. This simple remark can be used to construct martingales on a manifold whose final value is fixed.*

As we have only been working so far with Hölder weak geometric rough paths rather than with rough paths associated with a general control $\omega(s,t)$ *as can be done, we specialize this remark to that setting; there is no difficulty in extending it to the general framework.*

Let M *be a* d*-dimensional manifold equipped with a connection* ∇*. Let* $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{M})$ *stand for the frame bundle over* M *and* H_i *be the canonical horizontal vector fields on* $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{M})$ *associated with the connection* ∇*. Write* $\pi : \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{M}) \to \mathrm{M}$ *for the natural projection and* H *for* $(H_1, \dots, H_d)$*.*

DEFINITION **41.** *Let* $2 < p < 3$*. An* M*-valued random process* $(x_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ *is said to be a* **special** ∇**-martingale** *if it is the projection of an* $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{M})$*-valued path* $(\mathbf{e}_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ *solving the rough differential equation* $d\mathbf{e} = H d\mathbf{X}$*, where* $\mathbf{X}$ *is the canonical Hölder weak geometric* p*-rough path associated with an* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued martingale* X *such that* $|X_t - X_s| \leqslant c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{p}}$ *and* $\left|\int_s^t X_r \otimes \circ dX_r\right| \leqslant c|t-s|^{\frac{2}{p}}$*.*

Given a final value x_T *in* M *and* $\mathbf{e}_T \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{M})$ *with* $\pi(\mathbf{e}_T) = x_T$*, the time* 0 *value of the solution in the above sense to the rough differential equation with unbounded vector fields* $d\overleftarrow{\mathbf{e}} = H\, d\overleftarrow{\mathbf{X}}$*, if well-defined, provides a solution to the problem. If for instance* M *is isometrically* $\mathcal{C}^3$ *embedded in some* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *(which is not a restriction by Nash's theorem) the vector fields are the restriction to* M *of unbounded* γ*-Lipschitz vector fields with at most linear growth, so the preceeding applies by point 1 above. Reparametrizing any* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued continuous (local) martingale by its* p*-variation up to the running time, it is possible to deal with the general case of a* ∇*-martingale in the setting of controlled rough paths described in point (4) of remark 15. See* [34] *for a completely different approach based on backward stochastic differential equations.*

7. Mean field stochastic rough differential equations

We show in this section how the results of sections 2, 3 and 5 can be used to study some simple mean field stochastic rough differential equations. This kind of dynamics pops in naturally in the study of the large population limit of some classes of interacting random evolutions. The interaction holds through the dependence of the local characteristics of the random motion of each particle on the empirical measure of the whole family of particles. In a diffusion setting, each particle i would satisfy a stochastic differential equation of the form

$$dx_t^{(i)} = b\big(x_t^{(i)}, \mu_t^N\big)dt + \sigma\big(x_t^{(i)}, \mu_t^N\big)dB_t^{(i)},$$

where $\mu_t^N = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{x_t^{(k)}}$. A large industry has been devoted to showing that the limit distribution in paths space of a typical particle of the system when N tends to infinity has a dynamics of the form

$$dx_t = b\big(x_t, \mathcal{L}(x_t)\big)dt + \sigma\big(x_t, \mathcal{L}(x_t)\big)dB_t, \tag{7.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(x_t)$ stands for the law of x_t. Theorem 42 provides a well-posedness result for such a limit equation, in the context of rough differential equations. As emphasized in [35], almost all the works in this area are set in the framework of a filtered probability space and rely crucially on some martingale arguments. On the other hand, the increasing importance of non-semi-martingale processes, like fractional Brownian motion, makes it desirable to have some more flexible tools to investigate equation (7.1) in such contexts. The theory of rough paths developped above provides a nice framework for that.

A few notations are needed to set the probem. Given $2 < p < \gamma \leqslant [p]+1$, we equip the set $\mathcal{M}_1\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big)$ of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the metric induced by its embedding in the dual of $\mathcal{C}^\gamma\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big)$:

$$\mathrm{d}(\mu,\nu) = \sup\Big\{(g,\mu) - (g,\nu)\,;\, g\in\mathcal{C}^\gamma\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big),\ \|g\|_\gamma \leqslant 1\Big\}.$$

This metric topology is stronger than the weak convergence topology. Given any positive constant m, note that the set $\mathrm{Lip}(m)$ of Lipschitz continuous paths from $[0,T]$ to $\big(\mathcal{M}_1\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big),\mathrm{d}\big)$, with Lipschitz constant no greater than m, is closed under the norm of uniform convergence, so it is a Banach space.

Fix $T > 0$ and suppose $\mathbf{X}$ is a random variable defined on some probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$, with values in the set of Hölder weak geometric p-rough paths over $\mathbb{R}^\ell$, on the time interval $[0,T]$; write $\mathbf{X} = 1\oplus X^1\oplus\cdots\oplus X^{[p]}$, and $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ for the filtration generated by $\mathbf{X}$. As above, F stands for a collection $(V_1,\dots,V_\ell)$ of regular enough vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Given a Lipschitz continuous path $\mathcal{P}_\bullet = (P_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ in $\big(\mathcal{M}_1\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big), d\big)$ and $\omega\in\Omega$, denote by $x_\bullet(\omega)$ the unique solution to the rough differential equation on paths

$$dx_t = V(x_t,P_t)dt + F(x_t,P_t)\,d\mathbf{X}_t, \tag{7.2}$$

where x_0 may be an integrable random variable independent of $\mathbf{X}$. Denote by $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_\bullet)_t$ the law of x_t; a solution $x_\bullet$ to (7.2) for which $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_\bullet)_t = P_t$ is said to be a solution of the **nonlinear rough differential equation** (or mean field stochastic rough differential equation)

$$dx_t = V\big(x_t,\mathcal{L}(x_t)\big)dt + F\big(x_t,\mathcal{L}(x_t)\big)\,d\mathbf{X}_t.$$

Theorem 42 below provides conditions on V, F and $\mathbf{X}$ under which existence and uniqueness for solutions of this equation can be proved. We refer the reader to the forthcoming work [36] for a more general uniqueness statement. Note that the main assumption (7.4) below holds for instance for the Brownian rough path, the rough path above an Orsntein-Uhlenbeck process, or fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index no smaller than $\frac{1}{2}$.

THEOREM **42.** *Let* $V, V_1, \dots, V_\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ *be vector fields on* $\mathbb{R}^d$. *Given any* $P \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *we assume that* $V(\cdot, P)$ *is of class* $\mathcal{C}^2$, *with associated norm no greated than* λ, *and that the vector fields* $V_i(\cdot, P)$ *are of class* $\mathcal{C}^{[p]+1}$, *with associated norms uniformly bounded with respect to* P, *and that they satisfy the inequalities*

$$\max_{i=1..\ell} \big\| V_i(\cdot, P) - V_i(\cdot, Q) \big\|_\infty \vee \big\| V(\cdot, P) - V(\cdot, Q) \big\|_\infty \leqslant \lambda \, \mathrm{d}(P, Q), \tag{7.3}$$

for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Assume also that the random variables* $\big(X^{r,I}_{ba}\big)_{r=1..[p],\, I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r}$ *are integrable and that there exists for each* $0 \leqslant a \leqslant T$, *a positive random variable* C_a, *such that each of them satisfies the inequality*

$$\Big| \mathbb{E}\Big[X^{r,I}_{ba} \,\Big|\, \mathcal{F}_a \Big] \Big| \leqslant C_a (b-a), \tag{7.4}$$

for all $0 \leqslant a \leqslant b \leqslant T$, *with* $\sup_{0\leqslant a\leqslant T} \mathbb{E}[C_a] < \infty$. *Last, suppose that*

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma \big] < \infty. \tag{7.5}$$

Then one can choose m *big anough so that the map* Φ *has a fixed point in* $\mathrm{Lip}(m)$. *This fixed point is unique and depends continuously on the law of* $\mathbf{X}$ *if the vector fields* V_i *do not depend on their* P*-component.*

PROOF – **1. Existence.** We first prove that one can choose m big enough so that the map Φ sends $\mathrm{Lip}(m)$ to itself. Given such a path $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$, the time-dependent bounded vector field $V(x, P_t)$ is Lipschitz in its two arguments, so we can denote by $\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}$ the well-defined solution flow to the time non-homogeneous rough differential equation (7.2) on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We need to see that, for any function $g \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\|g\|_\gamma \leqslant 1$, and any $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$, we have

$$\big(g, \Phi(\mathcal{P}_\bullet)_t\big) - \big(g, \Phi(\mathcal{P}_\bullet)_s\big) \leqslant c\,(t-s),$$

for some positive constant $c \leqslant m$, that is

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\big) - g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)\big) \Big] \leqslant c\,(t-s). \tag{7.6}$$

Set $s_i = s + i2^{-n}(t-s)$ and define the approximate solution flow

$$\varphi^{(n),\mathcal{P}}_{ts} = \rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_{2^n} s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_1 s_0}$$

associated with the time non-homogeneous rough differential equation (7.2) as in remark 25 (6); it converges uniformly to $\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}$. The expectation $\mathbb{E}\Big[g\Big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\Big) - g\Big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)\Big) \Big]$ is, by dominated convergence, the limit of $\mathbb{E}\Big[g\Big(\varphi^{(n),\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\Big) - g\Big(\varphi^{(n),\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)\Big) \Big]$, and writing $g\Big(\varphi^{(n),\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\Big) - g\Big(\varphi^{(n),\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)\Big)$ as a telescopic sum, using the elementary identity (2.5), gives

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[g\Big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\Big) - g\Big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)\Big) \Big] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mathbb{E}\Big[g\big(\rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_{k+1}s_k} \overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_k(\mathbf{z})\big) - g\big(\overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_k(z)\big) \Big],$$

where we write z for $\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)$, and $\overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_k = \rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_k s_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_1 s_0}$, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^n$. With these notations, using the Taylor expansion of $\rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_{k+1}s_k}$ given in (3.7), we see that $\mathbb{E}\big[g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\big) - g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)\big)\big]$ is the limit of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mathbb{E}\Big[2^{-n}(t-s)\big(V(\cdot,P_{s_k})g\big)\big(\overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_k(z)\big) + \\ \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} X^{r,I}_{s_{k+1}s_k}\big(V_I(\cdot,P_{s_k})g\big)\big(\overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_k(z)\big) + \|g\|_\gamma\big(2+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\, o\Big(\big(2^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}n}\Big)\Big],$$

where $o(\cdot)$ does not depend on $\mathbf{X}$. So, using (7.4) and (7.5) and the uniform boundedness assumption in $\mathcal{C}^{[p]+1}$ of the vector fields $V_i(\cdot,P)$, the quantity $\mathbb{E}\big[g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\big) - g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{s0}(x_0)\big)\big]$ appears as no greater than the large n limit of

$$\begin{aligned} c(t-s) &+ \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \sum_{r=1}^{[p]} \sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbb{E}\big[X^{r,I}_{s_{k+1}s_k}\big|\mathcal{F}_{s_k}\big]\,\big(V_I(\cdot,P_{s_k})g\big)\big(\overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_k(z)\big)\Big] + o_n(1) \\ &\leqslant c(t-s) + c\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mathbb{E}\big[C_{s_k}\big]\,\big(s_{k+1}-s_k\big) + o_n(1) \leqslant c(t-s) + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (7.6) follows by choosing m big enough. Fix $P_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big)$ and define $\mathrm{Lip}_0(m)$ as the subset of $\mathrm{Lip}(m)$ paths with starting point P_0. As $\mathrm{Lip}_0(m)$ is a convex compact subset of the set of continuous paths from$[0,T]$ to $\Big(\mathcal{M}_1\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big), \mathrm{d}\Big)$, equipped with the norm of uniform convergence, Schauder's fixed point theorem applies and gives the existence of a fixed point of the map Φ.

2. Uniqueness. We suppose in this paragraph that the vector fields $V_i(\cdot,P) = V_i(\cdot)$ do not depend on their $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$-component. First, we prove that Φ is a strict contraction of $\mathrm{Lip}_0(m)$, provided T is small enough. We use for that purpose the same telescopic decomposition as above, which is reminiscent of the well-known identity $\mathrm{T}_t = \mathrm{Id} + \int_0^t \mathrm{L}\mathrm{T}_r\,dr$, satisfied by the semi-group $(\mathrm{T}_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ of any Markov process with generator L.

Fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and omit it in the notations of this paragraph. Denote by $\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}_{ts}$ the approximate solution flow associated to the time non-homogeneous rough differential equation (7.2), with Q_t instead of P_t, for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$. Given $g \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma\big(\mathbb{R}^d\big)$, we see, using dominated convergence, that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\big) - g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{Q}}_{t0}(x_0)\big)\Big] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\Big[g\big(\varphi^{(n),\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\big) - g\big(\varphi^{(n),\mathcal{Q}}_{t0}(x_0)\big)\Big]$$

is the limit of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big\{g\big(\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}_{s_{2^n}s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}_{s_{2^n-k+1}s_{2^n-k}} \circ \rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_{2^n-k}s_{2n-k-1}}\big) \\ - g\big(\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}_{s_{2^n}s_{2^n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}_{s_{2^n-k+1}s_{2^n-k}} \circ \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}_{s_{2^n-k}s_{2^n-k-1}}\big)\Big\} \circ \overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_{2^n-k-1}(x_0)\Big]$$

where $\overline{\rho}^{\mathcal{P}}_{2^n-k-1} = \rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_{2^n-k-1}s_{2^n-k-2}} \circ \cdots \circ \rho^{\mathcal{P}}_{s_1 s_0}$, with the obvious convention concerning the summand for the first and last term of the sum. It follows from the time-inhomogeneous version of proposition 19 giving the Taylor expansion of $\rho^{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{P}}_{s_{2^n-k+1}s_{2^n-k}}$, that $\mathbb{E}\Big[g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(x_0)\big) - g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{Q}}_{t0}(x_0)\big)\Big]$ is no greater than the large n limit of

$$\mathbb{E}\left[c\big(1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big)\,\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1}\big\|V(\cdot,P_{s_{2^n-k-1}}) - V(\cdot,Q_{s_{2^n-k-1}})\big\|_\infty\,2^{-n}t\right] + c\|g\|_\gamma\,\mathbb{E}\big[1+\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big]\,2^{\frac{\gamma-p}{p}n}.$$

This upper bound is no greater than

$$c\,\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}\,\lambda\,2^{-n}t\sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1}\mathrm{d}\big(P_{s_{n-k-1}},Q_{s_{n-k-1}}\big) + o_n(1) \leqslant ct\,\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\mathrm{d}(P_s,Q_s) + o_n(1),$$

since $\mathbb{E}\big[\|\mathbf{X}\|^\gamma\big]$ is finite. As a result, we have for all $t\in[0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\big(\Phi(\mathcal{P}_\bullet)_t,\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\bullet)_t\big) &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}\leqslant 1}\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big[g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{P}}_{t0}(\mathbf{x}_0)\big)\Big] - \mathbb{E}\Big[g\big(\varphi^{\mathcal{Q}}_{t0}(\mathbf{x}_0)\big)\Big]\Big| \\ &\leqslant cT\max_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{d}\big(P_t,Q_t\big),\end{aligned}$$

so Φ is a strict contraction provided $cT<1$.

As usual in the study of ordinary differential equations, the fact that Φ has a unique fixed point for any T follows from the fact that the above condition on T does not involve $P_0=Q_0$.

As the solution to the rough differential equation (7.2) depends continuously on $\mathbf{X}$, the map Φ, considered as a function of $\mathcal{P}_\bullet$ and of the parameter "law of $\mathbf{X}$", is a continuous function of its two arguments. It is an elementary result that the unique fixed point of Φ is then a continuous function of the parameter. ▷

Remarks **43.** (1) *Following* [35], *one can prove a propagation of chaos result for the particle system associated with* (7.2).

(2) **Rough mean field games.** *Let us come back to the finite particle system described in heuristic terms in the introduction of this section. Suppose now that each individual can control his trajectory by tuning continuously a parameter* u. *Given a choice* $(u^{(i)}_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$ *of control for the* i^{th} *particle, its dynamics is described by the rough differential equation*

$$dx^{(i)}_t = V\big(x^{(i)}_t,\mu_t,u^{(i)}_t\big)dt + F\big(x^{(i)}_t,\mu_t,u^{(i)}_t\big)d\mathbf{X}^{(i)}_t. \tag{7.7}$$

Suppose now that each particle wishes to maximize some payoff of the form

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_t^T J(s,x_s,\mu_s,u_s)\,ds + C(x_T)\Big],$$

where the instantaneous cost J *and the final cost* C *are given. Fixing the process* $(\mu^N_s)_{0\leqslant s\leqslant T}$ *and writing* $(\mu_s)_{0\leqslant s\leqslant T}$ *for it, the usual dynamic programming reasonning tells us that the optimal payoff*

$$p_t(x) := \sup_{u_\bullet}\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_t^T J(s,x_s,\mu_s,u_s)\,ds + C(x_T)\Big]$$

of a particle started at x *at time* t *should heuristically satisfy the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(x) &= \sup_{u_\bullet}\Big(J(t,x,\mu_t,u)\epsilon + \mathbb{E}\big[p_{t+\epsilon}(x_{t+\epsilon})\big] + o(\epsilon)\Big) \\ &= \sup_{u_\bullet}\Big(J(t,x,\mu_t,u)\epsilon + o(\epsilon) + \partial_t p_t(x) + \mathbb{E}\Big[(Vp_t)(x,\mu_t,u_t)\epsilon + \\ &\qquad \sum_{r=1}^{[p]}\sum_{I\in[\![1,\ell]\!]^r} X^{r,I}_{t+\epsilon\, t}(V_I p_t)(x,\mu_t,u_t)\Big] + O_c\big(\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}\big)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Under hypothesis (7.4)*, this equation amounts to a* system *of HJB equations with fixed terminal condition, whose form depends on how the expectations* $\mathbb{E}\big[X^{r,I}_{t+\epsilon\, t}\big]$ *behave as* ϵ *decreases to* 0*. If for instance* $3\leqslant p<4$ *and the increments of* X^1 *have zero mean,* $\mathbb{E}\big[X^{2,jk}_{t+\epsilon\, t}\big] = B^{jk}_t\big(\epsilon^\alpha + o(\epsilon^\alpha)\big)$ *for* $\alpha<1$*, and* $\mathbb{E}\big[X^{3,ijk}_{t+\epsilon\, t}\big] = A^{ijk}_t\big(\epsilon + o(\epsilon)\big)$*, we obtain the system*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p_t(x) + \sup\limits_u\Big(J(t,x,\mu_t,u) + (Vp_t)(t,x,\mu_t,u) + \sum\limits_{i,j,k=1}^{\ell} A^{ijk}_t(V_iV_jV_kp_t)(t,x,\mu_t,u)\Big) = 0, \\ \sup\limits_u\Big(\sum\limits_{j,k=1}^{\ell} B^{jk}_t(V_jV_kp_t)(t,x,\mu_t,u)\Big) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose, in a given situation, that the HJB system is well-posed, with a maximum achieved at a unique point $\Gamma\big(t,x,(\mu_s)_{t\leqslant s\leqslant T}\big)$ *for any continuous path* $(\mu_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}$*. If each individual chooses this optimal control, equation* (7.7) *specifies its dynamics. The* mean field consistency condition *couples the dynamics of a typical particle of the large* N *limit of the particle system with the above system of HJB equations by requiring that the law* ν_t *of* x_t *satisfies*

$$dx_t = V\Big(x_t,\nu_t,\Gamma(t,x_t,(\nu_s)_{t\leqslant s\leqslant T})\Big)dt + F\Big(x_t,\nu_t,\Gamma(t,x_t,(\nu_s)_{t\leqslant s\leqslant T})\Big)d\mathbf{X}_t.$$

Investigating existence and well-posedness for the coupled dynamics is a real challenge; consult [37] *for recent important advances on this area in the setting of Markovian dynamics driven by general Lévy processes.*

References

[1] T. Lyons. Differential equations driven by rough signals. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 14 (2):215–310, 1998.

[2] Lyons, T.J. and Caruana, M. and Lévy, Th. Differential equations driven by rough paths. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1908, Springer 2007.

[3] Lyons, T. and Qian, Z. System control and rough paths. *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Oxford University Press 2002.

[4] Friz, P. and Victoir, N. Multidimensional stochastic processes as rough paths. *CUP, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 120, 2010.

[5] Davie, A. M. Differential equations driven by rough paths: an approach via discrete approximation. *Appl. Math. Res. Express. AMRX*, (2), 2007 .

[6] Feyel, D. and de La Pradelle, A. Curvilinear integrals along enriched paths. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 11:860–892, 2006.

[7] Feyel, D. and de La Pradelle, A. and Mokobodzki, G. A non-commutative sewing lemma. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 13:24–34, 2008.

[8] Friz, P. and Victoir, N. A note on the notion of geometric rough paths. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 136 (3):395–416, 2006.

[9] Hartman, S. M. Ordinary differential equations. *S. M. Hartman, Baltimore, Md*, 1973.

[10] Strichartz, R. The Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff-Dynkin formula and solutions of differential equations. *J. Functional Analysis*, 72:320–345, 1987.

[11] Lejay, A. Global solutions to rough differential equations with unbounded vector fields. *Séminaire de probabilités XLIV*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2046, 2012.

[12] Friz, P. and Victoir, N. Euler estimates for rough differential equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 244 (2):388–412, 2008.

[13] Chen, K.T. Iterated path integrals. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83(5):831–879, 1977.

[14] Lejay, A. and Victoir, N. On (p, q)-rough paths. *J. Differential Equations*, 225(1):103–133, 2006.

[15] Friz, P. and Oberhauser, H. Rough paths limits of the Wong-Zakai type with a modified drift term. *J. Functional Analysis*, 256: 3236–3256, 2009.

[16] Malrieu, F. and Talay, D. Concentration inequalities for Euler schemes. *Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods 2004*, 355–371, Springer, Berlin 2006.

[17] Lemaire, V. and Menozzi, S. On some non asymptotic bounds for the Euler scheme. *Electron. J. Probab*, 15:1645–1681, 2010.

[18] Frikha, N. and Menozzi, S. Concentration Bounds for Stochastic Approximations. *Preprint Arxiv*, 1204.3730, 2012.

[19] Lejay, A. On rough differential equations. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:341–364, 2009.

[20] Azencott, R. Formule de Taylor stochastique et développement asymptotique d'intégrales de Feynman. *Lecture notes in Math.*, 921(1):237–285, Séminaire de probabilité XVI, supplément, 1982.

[21] Ben Arous, G. Flots et séries de Taylor stochastiques. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 81(1):29–77, 1989.

[22] Castell, F. Asymptotic expansion of stochastic flows. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 96(2):225–239, 1993.

[23] Baudoin, F. The tangent space to a hypoelliptic diffusion and applications. *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII*, LNM 1857:338–362, 2005.

[24] Baudoin, F. An introduction to the geometry of stochastic flows. *Imperial College Press*, 2004.

[25] Gubinelli, M. Ramification of rough paths. *J. Differential Equations*, 248(4):693–721, 2010.

[26] Butcher, J.C. An algebraic theory of integration methods. *Math. Comp.*, 26:79–106, 1972.

[27] Hairer, E. and Wanner, G. On the Butcher group and general multi-value methods. *Computing (Arch. Elektron. Rechnen).*, 13(1):1–15, 1974.

[28] Hairer, M. and Kelly. D. Geometric versus non-gemetric rough paths. *Arxiv preprint*, arXiv:1210.6294.

[29] Connes, A. and Kreimer, D. Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 199(1):203–242, 1998.

[30] Chartier, Ph. and Hairer, E. and Vilmart, G. Algebraic structures of B-series. *Found. Comput. Math*, 10(4):407–427, 2010.

[31] Manchon, D. Hopf algebras, from basics to applications to renormalization. *Arxiv*, arXiv:math/0408405, 2006.

[32] Reutenauer, C. Free Lie algebras. *Oxford Science Publications*, 1993.

[33] Gubinelli, M. Controlling rough paths. *J. Functional Analysis*, 216(1):86–140, 2004.

[34] Darling, R. Constructing gamma-martingales with prescribed limit, using backwards SDE. *Ann. Probab.*, 23(3):1234–1261, 1995.

[35] Cass, T. and Lyons, T. Evolving communities with indiviual preference. *Preprint Oxford Man Institute*, 2010.

[36] Bailleul, I. Mean field stochastic rough differential equations. *Forthcoming work.*, 2013.

[37] Kolokoltsov, V. and Li, J. and Yang, W. Mean field games and nonlinear Markov processes. *Preprint, arXiv:1112.3744*, 2012.

IRMAR, 263 Avenue du General Leclerc, 35042 RENNES, France
E-mail address: `ismael.bailleul@univ-rennes1.fr`